arXiv:1611.09833v1 [math.GT] 29 Nov 2016

MINIMAL FOLIATIONS BY HYPERBOLIC SURFACES ON 3-MANIFOLDS

FERNANDO ALCALDE CUESTA[1,2], FRANÇOISE DAL'BO[3], MATILDE MARTÍNEZ[4], AND ALBERTO VERJOVSKY[5]

Abstract. We describe several methods to construct minimal foliations by hyperbolic surfaces on closed 3-manifolds, and discuss the properties of the examples thus obtained. These methods can roughly be grouped into cut-and-paste constructions, deformation of fibrations and construction via branched coverings.

Introduction

In the 1960's, W. B. R. Lickorish and J. W. Wood (see [35], [53]) proved that all closed three-manifolds admit codimension-one foliations. Motivated by the work of William Thurston [50], the role played by laminations and foliations in the study of 3-manifolds is fundamental as witnessed, for instance, in the applications given by D. Calegari [9], S. Fenley [20] and D. Gabai [24].

Foliations by surfaces on compact manifolds generalize compact surfaces in many ways. Poincaré's Uniformization Theorem tells us that *most* compact surfaces are hyperbolic –namely, those with negative Euler characteristic. In a similar way, many (arguably *most*) foliations by surfaces are *hyperbolic* in the sense that they admit a Riemannian metric along the leaves with constant curvature -1, and these foliations have a topological characterization (see [11] and [52]). However, there are not many explicit constructions of this kind of foliations, see [9] and [12] for some examples. In the central core of the paper, we describe several methods to construct such foliations, and discuss the properties of the examples we obtain.

In a previous work [3], the authors proved the following dichotomy for a *minimal* (i.e. with dense leaves) foliation $\mathcal{F}$ by hyperbolic surfaces on a closed manifold M: *Either all leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ are geometrically finite (i.e. with finitely generated fundamental group) or all leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ are geometrically infinite (i.e. with non finitely generated fundamental group).* In the former case, leaves without holonomy are simply connected and all essential loops represent non trivial holonomy. In the latter, all leaves have infinitely many ends, infinite genus, or both.

In this context, it is natural to ask: Which closed 3-manifolds admit minimal foliations by hyperbolic surfaces? Of which type?

There are well-known obstructions to the existence of these foliations, dating back to the 1960's through the 1990's. We combine them in Section 2 to see which of the eight Thurston geometric structures contain foliated manifolds by hyperbolic surfaces. As way of summary, we have:

(1) *Any closed 3-manifold admitting a geometric structure of type $\mathbb{S}^3$, $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{R}^3$ or Nil does not admit a foliation by hyperbolic surfaces.*
(2) *For each of the geometric structures Sol, $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, $\widetilde{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathbb{H}^3$, there are manifolds admitting minimal foliations by hyperbolic surfaces.*

Date: November 30, 2016.

In the central core of the paper, comprising from Section 3 to Section 6, we describe several methods to construct such foliations, and discuss the properties of the examples we obtain. Some additional properties are discussed in the appendix.Several classical methods are revisited in Section 3 in order to construct minimal foliations by geometrically infinite hyperbolic surfaces in 3-manifolds with geometries $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\widetilde{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ and graph-manifolds. Although this seems part of the folklore of the golden age of foliations, we have tried to update these techniques to obtain examples of interest from a modern perspective based in the interplay between topology and dynamical systems. In Sections 4 and 5, in the same spirit, we show two different methods to construct minimal foliations on hyperbolic 3-manifolds. Firstly, by deforming their fibrations, we obtain minimal foliations without holonomy by geometrically infinite surfaces on hyperbolic 3-manifolds that fiber over the circle (having first Betti number greater than 1). This uses a very recent construction of pseudo-Anosov homeomorphisms which are partially Torelli by I. Agol, C. J. Leininger and D. Margalit [1]. Next, we describe a method to obtain via branched coverings minimal foliations by geometrically infinite surfaces on hyperbolic 3-manifolds from the center-(un)stable folation of a Anosov flow on a *Sol* manifold. This method applies to any fiber bundle over the circle whose monodromy has a stretch factor which is quadratic over $\mathbb{Q}$, according to a theorem by J. Franks and E. Ryyken [22], but in Section 6 it is also illustrated from the "bare hands" description of two examples: the pseudo-Anosov homeomorphisms constructed by F. Laudenbach in the celebrated volume on *Travaux de Thurston sur les surfaces* [18] and the translation surfaces obtained as branched covers of a torus [21].

Minimal foliations are always taut, and all the examples are $\mathbb{R}$-covered in the sense that the leaf space of the lifted foliation to the universal covering of the manifold is Hausdorff, and then diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$. In the last Section 7, we construct an example of minimal taut foliation by geometrically infinite hyperbolic surfaces which is not $\mathbb{R}$-covered. In fact, the leaf space of the lifted foliation is diffeomorphic to the "plume composée" of [29].

Acknowledgements. This work was partially supported by Spanish Excellence Grant MTM2013-46337-C2-2-P, Galician Grant GPC2015/006 and European Regional Development Fund, Grupo CSIC 618 (UdelaR, Uruguay) and project PAPIIT IN106817 (UNAM, Mexico). The authors Françoise Dal'Bo, Matilde Martínez, and Alberto Verjovsky would like to thank the University of Santiago de Compostela for its hospitality.

1. Preliminaries

Foliations. A *foliation by surfaces* $\mathcal{F}$ on a closed 3-manifold M is given by a foliated atlas $\{(U_\alpha, \psi_\alpha)\}$ such that

(1) $\{U_\alpha\}$ is an open covering of M,
(2) $\psi_\alpha : U_\alpha \to D \times T$ is a homeomorphism, where D is an disk in $\mathbb{R}^2$ and T is an interval in $\mathbb{R}$, and
(3) for $(p,q) \in \psi_\beta(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)$, $\psi_\alpha \circ \psi_\beta^{-1}(p,q) = (\lambda^q_{\alpha\beta}(p), \tau_{\alpha\beta}(q))$, where $\lambda^q_{\alpha\beta}$ is C^∞ and depends continuously on q in the C^∞ topology.

Each U_α is called a *foliated chart*, a set of the form $\psi_\alpha^{-1}(\{p\} \times T)$ being its *transversal*. The sets of the form $\psi_\alpha^{-1}(D \times \{q\})$, called *plaques*, glue together to form maximal connected surfaces called *leaves*. A foliation is said to be *minimal* if all its leaves are dense.

The tangent bundle $T\mathcal{F}$ is the vector bundle over M which can be trivialized on each foliated chart

$$\begin{array}{ccc}(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta) \times \mathbb{R}^2 & \to & (U_\alpha \cap U_\beta) \times \mathbb{R}^2 \\ (p, v) & \mapsto & (p, d\lambda^q_{\alpha\beta}(p)(v)),\end{array}$$

and the normal bundle $N\mathcal{F}$ is the quotient of TM by $T\mathcal{F}$. The foliation $\mathcal{F}$ is said to be *tranversely orientable* if $N\mathcal{F}$ is orientable.

In this paper, we always consider transversely orientable smooth foliations on oriented closed 3-manifolds.

Taut foliations. A codimension one foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of a closed 3-manifold M is said to be *taut* if it admits a complete closed transversal, i.e. if there is an embedding $\gamma : \mathbb{S}^1 \hookrightarrow M$ which is transverse to $\mathcal{F}$ and (whose image) intersects all leaves. Any minimal foliation (i.e. with dense leaves) is taut. In the other direction, a foliation having a Reeb component cannot be taut.

The following theorem summarizes some important results on Reebless foliations by A. Haefliger [28] and S. P. Novikov [42], as well some improvements by H. Rosenberg [45], see [9] (see also [12] and [33]):

Theorem 1 (Haefliger, Novikov, Rosenberg)**.** *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a codimension one foliation on a compact 3-manifold. Assume $\mathcal{F}$ does not contain a Reeb component. Then the following properties are satisfied:*
(1) M is irreducible if $\mathcal{F}$ is not defined by a $\mathbb{S}^2$-fibration over $\mathbb{S}^1$.
(2) Every leaf L of $\mathcal{F}$ is incompressible*, i.e. if $i : L \hookrightarrow M$ denotes the inclusion, the induced map $i_* : \pi_1(L) \to \pi_1(M)$ is injective.*
(3) Any closed transversal γ represents a nontrivial and non-torsion element of the fundamental group of M, and therefore, $\pi_1(M)$ is infinite.

Let $\tilde{M}$ be the universal cover of M. As a corollary of Theorem 1, if M is not covered by $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$, any taut foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of M lifts to a foliation $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ of $\tilde{M}$ whose leaves are properly embedded planes. In fact, according to a much deeper theorem by C. F. B. Palmeira (see [43]), the universal cover $\tilde{M}$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^3$ and the lifted foliation $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is conjugate to the product of a foliation of $\mathbb{R}^2$ by lines and $\mathbb{R}$. The leaf space $\tilde{M}/\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is a simply connected 1-manifold [29]. The foliation $\mathcal{F}$ is $\mathbb{R}$*-covered* if $\tilde{M}/\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is Hausdorff and therefore diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$. An example of non $\mathbb{R}$-covered taut foliation will be described in Section 7.

Foliations by hyperbolic surfaces. A hyperbolic surface S is the quotient of the Poincaré half-plane $\mathbb{H}^2$ under the left action of a torsion-free discrete subgroup Γ of the group $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ of orientation preserving isometries of $\mathbb{H}^2$. When Γ is of finite type we say that S is *geometrically finite*, else it is *geometrically infinite*.

Let $(M, \mathcal{F})$ be a foliation by surfaces on a closed 3-manifold. In each foliated chart we can endow each plaque with a Riemannian metric, in a continuous way. Glueing these local metrics with partitions of unity gives a Riemannian metric on each leaf, which varies continuously in the C^∞ topology as we move from leaf to leaf. In particular, leaves of a closed foliated manifold always have bounded geometry, as defined in [14].

Such a Riemannian metric endows each leaf with a conformal structure, or equivalently, with a Riemann surface structure. According to [11] and [52], if all leaves are uniformized by the Poincaré disk $\mathbb{D}^2$, the uniformization map is continuous and leaves have constant curvature -1. Then we say that $\mathcal{F}$ is a foliation *by hyperbolic surfaces*. In fact, the existence of these hyperbolic metrics turns out to be a purely topological condition: it is equivalent to the universal cover of every leaf having positive volume entropy. This is also independent of the metric

because, M being compact, the restriction to leaves of all Riemannian metrics are quasi-isometric.

There is a dichotomy for minimal foliations by hyperbolic surfaces: either all the leaves are geometrically infinite or all the leaves are geometrically finite (see [3, Theorem 2]).

Surface automorphisms. Let Σ be a closed orientable surface of genus $g \geq 1$, and let $\varphi : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ an orientation-preserving homeomorphism.

If Σ has genus $g = 1$, then φ is isotopic to a linear automorphism of the torus $\mathbb{T}^2$, which is determined up to multiplication by $-Id$. This is given by the 2×2 matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) \tag{1.1}$$

of the automorphism $\varphi_* : \pi_1(\mathbb{T}^2) \to \pi_1(\mathbb{T}^2)$ with respect to the canonical basis m and p of $\pi_1(\mathbb{T}^2) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$. It is well-known the dynamical behavior of A is related to $|\, tr(A)\,| = |a + d|$. Indeed, if $tr(A) < 2$, A has finite order. If $tr(A) = 2$, A is conjugated to a matrix of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{1.2}$$

that fixes the vector $m = (1, 0)$. Finally, if $tr(A) > 2$, A has two real eigenvalues $\lambda > 1$ and $1/\lambda < 1$ which correspond to two different eigenvectors $u^{\pm}$. The linear foliations $\mathcal{F}_A^{\pm}$ of the torus $\mathbb{T}^2$ by parallel lines to $u^{\pm}$ are preserved by the linear automorphism A, but the leaves of $\mathcal{F}_A^+$ are stretched by a factor of λ and those of $\mathcal{F}_A^-$ are stretched by a factor of $1/\lambda$. Then φ is said to be *Anosov*.

According to a theorem by W. P. Thurston in [48], see also [5], the first two cases also appear when Σ has genus $g \geq 2$, although the third case is much more subtle. Namely, up to isotopy, one of the following alternative holds:

(1) φ is *periodic*, that is, there is an integer $n \geq 1$ such that φ^n is the identity Id.
(2) φ is *reducible*, that is, φ preserves the union of finitely many disjoint essential simple closed curves in Σ.
(3) φ is *pseudo-Anosov*, that is, there is a pair of transverse singular foliations $\mathcal{F}_\varphi^{\pm}$ endowed with two transverse invariant measures $\mu^{\pm}$ having no atoms and full support and there is a real number $\lambda > 1$ such that the foliations $\mathcal{F}_\varphi^{\pm}$ are invariant by φ but the measures $\varphi_*(\mu^+) = \lambda\mu^+$ and $\varphi_*(\mu^-) = \frac{1}{\lambda}\mu^-$.

Geometrization of surface bundles. Given an orientation-preserving homeomorhism $\varphi : \Sigma \to \Sigma$, we can define the mapping torus M_φ as the quotient of the product $\Sigma \times [0, 1]$ by the equivalence relation that identifies $(x, 0)$ with $(\varphi(x), 1)$. This oriented closed 3-manifold has a natural structure of fiber bundle

$$\Sigma \to M_\varphi \xrightarrow{q} \mathbb{S}^1$$

induced by the trivial fibration $p_2 : \Sigma \times [0, 1] \to [0, 1]$. If Σ has genus $g = 1$, the mapping torus M_φ satisfies one the following conditions:

(1) If φ is periodic, then M_φ admits an Euclidean geometric structure.
(2) If φ is reducible, then M_φ contains an incompressible torus.
(3) If φ is Anosov, then M_φ admits a *Sol* geometry.

In the Anosov case, M_φ is homeomorphic to the manifold $\mathbb{T}_A^3$ defined as the quotient of the product $\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ by the $\mathbb{Z}$-action generated by the transformation $f(x, y, t) = (A(x, y), t + 1)$. Thurston's geometrization theorem for surface bundles [51] states the following conditions when Σ has genus $g \geq 2$:

(1) If φ is periodic, then M_φ admits an $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ geometry.

(2) If φ is reducible, then M_φ contains some incompressible tori.
(3) If φ is pseudo-Anovov, then M_φ admits an $\mathbb{H}^3$ geometry.

Geometrization of 3-manifolds. Any closed orientable 3-manifold M is the connected sum of a finite number of *prime* 3-manifolds (which cannot be decomposed further in a nontrivial way), and this decomposition is unique up to homeomorphism. If M is prime, there is a unique (up to isotopy) family of disjoint incompressible tori that splits M into maximal simple pieces (which cannot be decomposed further in a nontrivial way). Recall that cutting along a torus means to remove the interior of a small tubular neighborhood of this torus, so each torus gives rise to two copies in the same piece (nonseparating case) or in different pieces (separating case). For this kind of toral decomposition, called *JSJ decomposition*, all simple pieces are Seifert fibered or atoroidal.

Thurston's geometrization conjecture was proved by G. Perelmann and says that every prime closed 3-manifold M either admits a geometric structure or it splits along incompressible tori into pieces which admit a geometric structure and whose interiors have finite volume. As usual, the nonorientable case reduces to the orientable one by passing to a 2-fold cover. The eight geometric structures described by Thurston derive from the simply connected manifolds $\mathbb{S}^3$, $\mathbb{R}^3$, and $\mathbb{H}^3$ of constant curvature, the product manifolds $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, and the Lie groups Nil, Sol, and $\widetilde{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$.

In an equivalent way, each prime closed 3-manifold M either admits a geometric structure or it splits along a family of disjoint incompressible tori as the union of *hyperbolic manifolds* (which admit $\mathbb{H}^3$ geometries) and a Seifert fibered pieces.

2. Foliations by hyperbolic surfaces on 3-manifolds having a geometric structure

As we said in the introduction, we are interested in knowing which closed three-manifolds admit a foliation by hyperbolic surfaces. Here, we ask ourselves: *Given one of the eight Thurston geometries, is there a prime manifold M, admitting such a geometric structure, and having a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ by hyperbolic surfaces?*.

A detailed review of the available literature yields an answer to this question for each of the eight geometries. As way of summary, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 2. *(1) Let M be a prime closed 3-manifold admitting a geometric structure of type $\mathbb{S}^3$, $\mathbb{R}^3$, $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ or Nil. Then M cannot have a foliation by hyperbolic surfaces.*
(2) For each of the geometric structures Sol, $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, $\widetilde{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathbb{H}^3$, there is a manifold M having this geometric structure and a foliation by hyperbolic surfaces.

We will briefly analyze each of these eight cases, assuming always that M is orientable.

$\mathbb{S}^3$. As explained in the statement of Theorem 1, Novikov's theorem says that a foliation by surfaces on $\mathbb{S}^3$ must have a Reeb component, therefore ruling out the existence of foliations by hyperbolic surfaces on $\mathbb{S}^3$ or on any of its quotients.

$\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$. The only prime closed orientable 3-manifold with this geometry is $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$, which is not irreducible. Therefore, due to Theorem 1.(i), it does not have a foliation by hyperbolic surfaces.

$\mathbb{R}^3$. The only closed 3-manifold with Euclidean geometry is the 3-torus $\mathbb{T}^3$. A negative answer to the existence of foliations by hyperbolic surfaces is given by the following result:

Proposition 1. *Let g be a Riemannian metric on $\mathbb{T}^3$. There cannot be an immersed surface on which the induced metric is of pinched negative curvature and complete.*

Proof. Suppose that S is such a surface. Consider the universal cover $\mathbb{R}^3$ of $\mathbb{T}^3$, to which S lifts as $\tilde{S}$. Let $\tilde{g}$ be the lift of g. Since $\mathbb{T}^3$ is compact, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for any vector v

$$\frac{1}{C}||v|| \leq ||v||_0 \leq C||v||,$$

where $||\cdot||$ is the norm associated to $\tilde{g}$ and $||\cdot||_0$ is the Euclidean norm. This implies that $\tilde{S}$ has pinched negative curvature when seen as a submanifold of the Euclidean $\mathbb{R}^3$, which contradicts a well known theorem due to D. Hilbert and N. V. Efimov (see [17] and [39]). □

***Nil*.** Nilmanifolds are of the form $\mathbb{T}^3_A$, where A is a matrix conjugated to a nilpotent matrix (1.2) different from the identity. They have nilpotent fundamental groups, which are of polynomial growth. The analog of Proposition 1 for nilmanifolds is not true (see [6]). But, in response to a question by M. Gromov, C. Yue proved in [57] that if a compact manifold M admits a codimension one foliation by surfaces of negative curvature, then its fundamental group $\pi_1(M)$ must have exponential growth. This excludes the existence of foliations by hyperbolic surfaces in nilmanifolds.

***Sol*.** If A is a linear hyperbolic automorphism of the two-torus $\mathbb{T}^2$, its mapping torus $\mathbb{T}^3_A$ is a 3-manifold with *Sol* geometry. Recall that $\mathbb{T}^3_A$ is the quotient of $\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ by the $\mathbb{Z}$-action generated by $f(x, y, t) = (A(x, y), t + 1)$. The suspension of A gives an Anosov flow on $\mathbb{T}^3_A$, which is induced by the vertical vector field $\partial/\partial t$ on $\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$. The center-unstable foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of this Anosov flow –namely, the foliation induced by the product of the unstable foliation $\mathcal{F}^+_A$ on the torus $\mathbb{T}^2$ and $\mathbb{R}$– is a foliation by hyperbolic surfaces, see [2] for details.

The fact that the fundamental group of a *Sol* manifold is solvable is an obstruction to the existence of geometrically infinite leaves. More generally, we have:

Proposition 2. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a foliation of class C^2 by hyperbolic surfaces on a closed 3-dimensional manifold M whose fundamental group does not contain a free group with two generators. Then all leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ are planes or cylinders, and $\mathcal{F}$ has both planar and cylindrical leaves.*

Proof. Let L be a leaf of $\mathcal{F}$; it is a hyperbolic surface. Its fundamental group is trivial, cyclic or it contains a free group with two generators. It injects into $\pi_1(M)$, which rules out the third possibility. If all the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ were planes, this would contradict a result by Rosenberg and Sondow stating that the only closed 3-manifold admitting a C^2-foliation by planes is the torus $\mathbb{T}^3$ (see [45]). Foliations by cylinders, on the other hand, have been classified by G. Hector (see [31]), and the only closed 3-manifolds admitting a C^2-foliation by cylinders are nilmanifolds. □

$\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$. Let $\Sigma = \Gamma\backslash\mathbb{H}^2$ be a hyperbolic compact surface where Γ is a discrete subgroup of $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$. The product $\Sigma \times \mathbb{S}^1$ is a trivial example of foliation by hyperbolic surfaces on a manifold with geometry $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$.

More interesting examples can be constructed as suspension of a representation $\rho : \Gamma \to \mathrm{Diffeo}^\infty_+(\mathbb{S}^1)$. The representation ρ defines a diagonal action of Γ on $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ giving rise to a circle bundle $M = \Gamma\backslash(\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1)$ over Σ. The horizontal foliation of $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ induces a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on M whose leaves are the hyperbolic surfaces $\Gamma_z\backslash\mathbb{H}^2$

where $\Gamma_z = \{\gamma \in \Gamma : \ \rho(\gamma)(z) = z\}$ is the stabilizer of $z \in \mathbb{S}^1$. The minimality of $\mathcal{F}$ is equivalent to the minimility of the action of Γ on $\mathbb{S}^1$.

According to [46], M admits a geometric structure $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ or $\widetilde{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ depending on whether the Euler class $e(\rho)$ is null or nonnull. In the former case, the suspension construction yields minimal foliations by hyperbolic surfaces both of geometrically finite and geometrically infinite type, as we will now see.

Let us restrict ourselves to considering a representation $\rho : \Gamma \to PSL(2,\mathbb{R})$. Seeing $PSL(2,\mathbb{R})$ as the group of orientation-preserving isometries of $\mathbb{H}^2$, $\rho(\Gamma)$ acts naturally on $\mathbb{S}^1 = \partial\mathbb{H}^2$, the circle at infinity of $\mathbb{H}^2$.

Using an algorithm due to J. Milnor [37], Wood proved that if ρ can be factorized through a free group or a free abelian group, then the Euler class of M is trivial (see [54] and [55]). In this case, the representation ρ has a nontrivial kernel, and under the assumption that the action of Γ is minimal, the leaves of the foliation we obtain are geometrically infinite. For example, if ρ sends one single generator of Γ on an infinite order elliptic element of $PSL(2,\mathbb{R})$ and all the other generators on the identity, then $e(\rho)$ is null, $\mathcal{F}$ is minimal, and all the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ are geometrically infinite isometric to $Ker\,\rho\backslash\mathbb{H}^2$.

On the other hand, if ρ is a faithful representation, then the foliation is minimal and the leaves are planes or cylinders. J. DeBlois and R. P. Kent proved in [16] that these representations are dense in the representation variety $Hom(\Gamma, PSL(2,\mathbb{R}))$ with its classical (not Zariski) topology. Since W. M. Goldman showed in [26] that the connected components of $Hom(\Gamma, PSL(2,\mathbb{R}))$ are determined by the value of the Euler class, there always exists a faithful representation ρ with $e(\rho) = 0$. Therefore, the suspension of ρ is a foliated manifold modeled on $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ whose leaves are dense hyperbolic planes and cylinders.

$\widetilde{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$. Following the same construction as before, if ρ is the inclusion of Γ into $PSL(2,\mathbb{R})$ as Fuchsian subgroup, the suspension of ρ is the unit tangent bundle $T^1\Sigma$ endowed with a minimal foliation by hyperbolic planes and cylinders. By construction, it admits a geometric structure modeled on $\widetilde{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$. As in the solvable case, M is the phase space of the geodesic flow of Σ, which is an Anosov flow in $T^1\Sigma$, and $\mathcal{F}$ is the center-unstable foliation of this flow. This is the case of the suspension of a representation $\rho : \Gamma \to PSL(2,\mathbb{R})$ with maximal Euler class $e(\rho) = \pm(2g-2)$, see [26]. In fact, as we noted before, any faithful representation with nonnull Euler class gives rise to a closed 3-manifold with geometry $\widetilde{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$, which is endowed with a minimal foliation by hyperbolic planes and cylinders.

In Section 3, we shall describe other constructions of minimal foliations by hyperbolic surfaces with geometries $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\widetilde{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$.

$\mathbb{H}^3$. Foliations by hyperbolic surfaces are known to exist on some closed hyperbolic 3-manifolds, see [9], [20] and [50]. Examples without compact leaves have also been constructed by G. Meigniez [36] (see also [15]) and S. Matsumoto [41]. Minimal foliations by geometrically finite hyperbolic surfaces also appear as center-stable foliations of transitive Anosov flows, which are known to exist on some hyperbolic manifolds. See for example [27] and [23]. Later, in Sections 4-7, we shall construct examples of minimal foliations by hyperbolic surfaces in hyperbolic 3-manifolds.

3. Minimal foliations by geometrically infinite surfaces: variations on some classical constructions

This section is devoted to some basic constructions of minimal foliations by geometrically infinite hyperbolic surfaces in 3-manifolds with geometries $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\widetilde{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ and graph-manifolds.



(a) Infinitely many infinite Loch monsters (b) Double Jacob's ladder

FIGURE 1. Leaves of foliations obtained by gluing handles to a linear foliation

Cut-and-paste constructions. We start by describing a classical procedure for gluing handles to leaves of a foliation. Let $\mathcal{F}_0$ be a minimal foliation of codimension one of a closed manifold M_0. We shall modify $(M_0, \mathcal{F}_0)$ in order to construct another minimal foliated manifold $(M, \mathcal{F})$ whose leaves are geometrically infinite. Firstly, since $\mathcal{F}_0$ is taut there is a closed transversal γ_0 which meets all leaves. Let $V_0 \cong D \times \mathbb{S}^1$ be a closed neighborhood of γ_0 such that the foliation induced by $\mathcal{F}_0$ is conjugated to the horizontal foliation by disks $D \times \{z\}$, $z \in \mathbb{S}^1$. The manifold $M_1 = M_0 - \mathring{V}_0$ obtained by removing the interior of V_0 admits a foliation $\mathcal{F}_1$ which is transverse to the boundary $\partial M_1 \cong \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1$. By construction, the foliation induced by $\mathcal{F}_1$ on ∂M_1 is conjugated to the horizontal foliation by circles $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \{z\}$, $z \in \mathbb{S}^1$. Let Σ be an orientable closed surface of genus $g \geq 1$, and remove the interior of a small closed disk D. The horizontal foliation $\mathcal{F}_2$ on the product manifold $M_2 = (\Sigma - \mathring{D}) \times \mathbb{S}^1$ induces the same foliation on the boundary $\partial M_2 \cong \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1$. If we glue together the foliated manifolds $(M_1, \mathcal{F}_1)$ and $(M_2, \mathcal{F}_2)$ using an orientation-preserving smooth diffeomorphism $\psi : \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ respecting the horizontal foliation, we obtain a foliated closed manifold $(M, \mathcal{F})$ whose leaves are dense geometrically infinite. Moreover, they have no planar end. Recall that an end of a noncompact surface is *planar* (resp. *nonplanar*) if it admits a neighborhood of genus 0 (resp. any neighborhood has infinite genus).

Definition 1. We say that $(M, \mathcal{F})$ is obtained by *Dehn surgery* on a knotted or unknotted closed transversal γ_0.

Remark 1. In this construction, we can replace γ_0 with a link $\gamma = \gamma_1 \cup \cdots \cup \gamma_k$ in M_0. Up to isotopy, we can assume γ is transverse to $\mathcal{F}_0$ and we have a foliated manifold $(M, \mathcal{F})$ by removing the interior of a tubular neighboorhood of each γ_i and filling each torus component with a product manifold $(\Sigma - \mathring{D}) \times \mathbb{S}^1$ as before.

Take, for example, a linear foliation $\mathcal{F}_0$ by planes (resp. cylinders) on $\mathbb{T}^3 = \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ given as the suspension of a representation $\rho : \pi_1(\mathbb{T}^2) \to \mathrm{Diffeo}^\infty_+(\mathbb{S}^1)$ sending m to a rotation $R_\alpha(z) = e^{2\pi i \alpha} z$ and p to a rotation $R_\beta(z) = e^{2\pi i \beta} z$ where α and β are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Z}$ (resp. sending m to the identity and p to a irrational rotation R_α). In the first case, by Dehn surgery on any closed transversal γ_0, the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ become *infinitely many infinite Loch monsters*, and in the second one *double Jacob's ladders*, see Figure 1.

Now, let us discuss if M admits some geometry or not by distinguishing several cases. If γ_0 is a fiber of the trivial fibration from $\mathbb{T}^3$ onto $\mathbb{T}^2$ (up to isotopy) and if the toral automorphism $\psi : \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ preserves the product structure of $\mathbb{T}^2$ (so that the induced map ψ_* on the fundamental group of $\mathbb{T}^2$ is the identity), the manifold M still has a structure of trivial $\mathbb{S}^1$ bundle over $\mathbb{T}^2 \# \Sigma$ and has a $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ geometry. But we can also glue the handles using a toral automorphism that does not preserve the product structure (so that ψ_* sends m to m and p to $p + sm$ for some integer $s \in \mathbb{Z}^*$) and then M is a $\mathbb{S}^1$ bundle over $\mathbb{T}^2 \# \Sigma$ but it is

no longer trivial. Using the Mayer-Vietoris sequence, one can see that the Euler class of M is equal to s (up to the sign). Therefore, in this case, M has a $\widetilde{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ geometry [46]. Notice that this construction does not allow us to obtain foliations with geometrically finite leaves like in the previous section. But it allows us to have foliations with geometrically infinite leaves which are not transverse to the fibration by choosing $|s| > 2g$ (see [55, Theorem 1.1]).

But we can also suppose that γ_0 turns some times in the fiber direction. In this case, we have the following result:

Proposition 3. *If γ_0 turns $r \geq 2$ times in the fiber direction, then M is a* graph-manifold*, i.e. having a nontrivial JSJ decomposition with Seifert fibered pieces.*

Proof. Let us start by considering a linear automorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{T}^2 \to \mathbb{T}^2$ of finite order $r = 2, 3, 4, 6$. Take for example $\varphi = -Id$ when $r = 2$. The mapping torus construction gives us a manifold M_φ homeomorphic to $M_0 = \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$. The suspension flow induces a Seifert fibered structure on M_0 whose fibers turns r times in the vertical $\mathbb{S}^1$-direction. If we suppose that γ_0 is one of these fibers, the manifold M_1 admits a Seifert fibered structure such that each Seifert fiber in the boundary $\partial M_1 \cong \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ meets each meridian r times. On the other hand, the Seifert fibered structure induced on the boundary $\partial M_2 \cong \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ of the product manifold $M_2 = (\Sigma - \mathring{D}) \times \mathbb{S}^1$ remains isomorphic to the product structure. These Seifert structures cannot be preserved by any gluing map ψ sending meridians to meridians. In fact, since ∂M_1 and ∂M_2 admit a unique Seifert fibered structure (up to isotopy) induced by any Seifert fibered structure of M_1 and M_2 (see [30, Lemma 1.15]), the manifold M cannot be a Seifert fibered manifold. In other words, M is a graph-manifold having a nontrivial JSJ decomposition into two Seifert fibered pieces. More generally, for any positive integer $r \geq 2$, we can take a homeomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{T}^2 \to \mathbb{T}^2$ isotopic to the identity and a periodic point of φ whose least period is r. Using again the mapping torus construction and considering the suspension flow, we prove similarly that the manifold M obtained by Dehn surgery on the orbit γ_0 of the periodic point is not a Seifert bundle. Finally, let us consider M given by Dehn surgery along a closed transvesal γ_0 in $M_0 = \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ that turns $r \geq 2$ times in the vertical $\mathbb{S}^1$-direction. By gluing ∂M_1 and ∂M_2, we obtain an incompressible torus T in M. Up to isotopy, we can assume that T belongs to a family of incomprenssible tori defining a JSJ decomposition of M. The torus T is the common boundary of two Seifert fibered pieces. Now we apply the same argument to prove that M is not a Seifert fibered manifold. □

Instead of starting with a linear foliation on $\mathbb{T}^3$, we take now a torus bundle M_0 over $\mathbb{S}^1$ with linear monodromy of type

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

endowed with a minimal foliation $\mathcal{F}_0$ by cylinders without holonomy. To construct $\mathcal{F}_0$, we use the following procedure (due to Hector [31]). Firstly, we consider the product $\mathbb{T}^2 \times [0,1]$ and the foliation whose leaves are the fibers of the trivial fibration over the first factor $\mathbb{S}^1$. We choose a diffeomorphim $\varphi : \mathbb{T}^2 \to \mathbb{T}^2$ sending meridians to meridians that projects to a diffeomorphism $\overline{\varphi} : \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{S}^1$. If the rotation number of $\overline{\varphi}$ is irrational, then the quotient $M_\varphi = \mathbb{T}^2 \times [0,1]/(x,y,0) \sim (\varphi(x,y),1)$ inherits a minimal foliation by cylinders. If φ_* is given by the matrix above, then M_φ is diffeomorphic to M_0. In fact, according to Theorem 1 of [31], any transversely oriented foliation of class C^2 on a closed manifold whose leaves are cylinders is conjugated to one of these models. By Dehn surgery on any closed transversal γ_0 in M_0, see Figure 2, we obtain a foliated manifold $(M, \mathcal{F})$ where all leaves are dense with



FIGURE 2. Closed tranversal in Hector's model

two nonplanar ends. Now, if $n \neq 0$, M_0 has a Nil geometry and M has a $\widetilde{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ geometry provided that γ_0 is isotopic to a fiber and the gluing diffeomorphism ψ preserves the product structure. If the automorphism $\psi_* : \pi_1(\mathbb{T}^2) \to \pi_1(\mathbb{T}^2)$ induced by ψ sends m to m and p to $p + sm$, the manifold M has $\widetilde{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ geometry except for $s = -n$, in which case the geometry is modeled on $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$. This again comes from the computation of the Euler class using the Mayer-Vietoris sequence.

If, lastly, the Dehn surgery is done along a closed transversal γ_0 which turns $r \geq 2$ times in the fiber direction, then M is a graph-manifold without geometric structure (by the same arguments used in the proof of Proposition 3).

Gluing suspensions along linear foliations. Examples of taut foliations whitout compact leaves on graph-manifolds have already been constructed by M. Brittenham, R. Naimi, and R. Roberts [7] in class C^0. Next, we propose other two methods to construct minimal smooth foliations with leaves of negative curvature on 3-manifolds with nontrivial JSJ decompositions.

The group $PSL(2,\mathbb{R})$ is perfect, so any irrational rotation R_θ is the product of g commutators in $PSL(2,\mathbb{R})$:

$$R_\theta = [f_1, h_1] \cdots [f_g, h_g]$$

We denote by Σ_1 the surface Σ of genus g minus the interior of a small closed disk D in Σ. The product manifold $M_1 = \Sigma_1 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ has boundary ∂M_1 diffeomorphic to the torus $\mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1$. The fundamental group $\Gamma_1 = \pi_1(\Sigma_1)$ is a free group generated by $2g_1$ classes α_i and β_i with $1 \leq i \leq g$. Then one has a representation

$$\rho_1 : \Gamma_1 \to PSL(2,\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathrm{Diffeo}^\infty_+(\mathbb{S}^1)$$

which sends α_i to f_i and β_i to h_i and then the product of commutators is sent to the irrational rotation R_θ. Therefore, if we suspend ρ_1, we obtain a codimension one foliation $\mathcal{F}_1$ on M_1 such that the foliation induced on ∂M_1 is smoothly conjugated to the linear foliation $\mathcal{F}_\theta$ defined by suspending R_θ. The simple curve γ_0 representing the sum of m and p in $\pi_1(T^2)$ is transverse to the foliation $\mathcal{F}_\theta$ and therefore we can think of $\mathcal{F}_\theta$ as the suspension of a diffeomorphism $f : \Gamma_0 \to \Gamma_0$ where Γ_0 is the image of γ_0. Since $\mathrm{Diffeo}^\infty_+(\Gamma_0) \cong \mathrm{Diffeo}^\infty_+(\mathbb{S}^1)$ is also perfect [34, Corollaire 5.3], we can write f as a product of commutators:

$$f = [k_1, l_1] \cdots [k_n, l_n].$$

If $\Gamma_2 = \pi_1(\Sigma_2)$ is the fundamental group of another surface Σ_2 of genus n with the interior of a disk removed, there is a new representation

$$\rho_2 : \Gamma_2 \to \mathrm{Diffeo}^\infty_+(\Gamma_0) \cong \mathrm{Diffeo}^\infty_+(\mathbb{S}^1)$$

which sends the new generators α_i and β_i with $1 \leq i \leq n$ to k_i and l_i respectively. If we suspend this representation, we obtain a smooth foliation $\mathcal{F}_2$ on the product manifold $M_2 = \Sigma_2 \times \Gamma_0$ which is again transverse to the boundary ∂M_2 and such that the foliation induced on ∂M_2 is smoothly conjugate to the suspension of f. Now, we can glue together M_1 and M_2 along the boundary by a diffeomorphism $\psi : \mathbb{T}^2 \to \mathbb{T}^2$ which sends the linear foliation $\mathcal{F}_\theta$ to the suspension of f. Thus, we obtain a smooth manifold M endowed with a smooth foliation $\mathcal{F}$, but the gluing map doesn't preserve the Seifert fibration induced on the boundaries ∂M_1 and ∂M_2. As before, M is not a Seifert fibered manifold. The foliation $\mathcal{F}$ we obtain is minimal, hence taut.

Proposition 4. *The foliation $\mathcal{F}$ thus constructed is a foliation by surfaces of hyperbolic type.*

Proof. Since M_1 and M_2 are foliated $\mathbb{S}^1$ bundles over hyperbolic surfaces with boundary, they admit smooth Riemannian metrics g_1 and g_2 which induce metrics of curvature -1 on leaves. Any Riemannian metric g on M is quasi-isometric on each M_i to the metric g_i, because the M_i are compact. By construction, the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ are made of pieces, which are leaves of $\mathcal{F}_1$ and $\mathcal{F}_2$. Therefore, g induces on the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ metrics which are quasi-isometric to either g_1 or g_2 depending on the piece, and this implies that each piece has positive volume entropy with respect to the induced metric. Therefore, (the universal cover of) any leaf must also have positive volume entropy. □

Hirsch surgery. Another method to construct minimal foliations by hyperbolic surfaces on 3-manifolds with nontrivial JSJ decompositions is inspired by the construction of the Hirsch foliation (see for example [12]). We start with two tori $\mathbb{T}^3$ each endowed with a linear foliation by cylinders (or planes), and we construct two foliated manifolds $(M_1, \mathcal{F}_1)$ and $(M_2, \mathcal{F}_2)$ with boundary as follows. In the case of M_1, we choose a fiber of the trivial fibration over $\mathbb{T}^2$ as closed transversal γ_1, and we remove the interior of a closed neighborhood $V_1 \cong D \times \mathbb{S}^1$ of γ_1 to obtain a foliation by cylinders with holes on the manifold with boundary M_1. As in the cut-and-paste construction, the boundary $\partial M_1 = \partial V_1$ is transverse to the first linear foliation and we can assume that the foliation induced on $\partial M_1 \cong \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ is conjugate to the horizontal foliation by circles $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \{z\}$, $z \in \mathbb{S}^1$. In the case of M_2, we choose a closed transversal γ_2 that turns two times in the fiber direction, and similarly we obtain another foliation by cylinders with holes on another manifold with boundary M_2. We can still assume the foliation induced by $\mathcal{F}_2$ on the boundary $\partial M_2 \cong \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ is conjugate to the horizontal foliation by circles $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \{z\}$, $z \in \mathbb{S}^1$.

Finally, we take the closed unit disk $D_1 \subset \mathbb{C}$ minus the interior of two disks $D_2^\pm$ of radius $1/4$ centered at $\pm 1/2$, the product of a pair of pants $P = D_1 - \mathring{D}_2^- \cup \mathring{D}_2^+$ and the circle $\mathbb{S}^1$, and the quotient $M_0 = P \times \mathbb{S}^1/(Z, z) \sim (-Z, -z)$ with the foliation induced by the horizontal foliation on $P \times \mathbb{S}^1$. We attach M_0 to the union of M_1 and M_2 via a diffeomorphism which sends the image $\partial D_1 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ in M_0 onto ∂M_1 and the image of $\partial \mathring{D}_2^- \times \mathbb{S}^1 \sqcup \mathring{D}_2^+ \times \mathbb{S}^1$ in M_0 onto ∂M_2 and which preserves the horizontal foliations on each torus. Then we obtain a minimal smooth foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on a graph-manifold M which splits naturally into three Seifert fibered pieces: M_1 y M_2 have no exceptional fibers and M_0 has one exceptional fiber. Indeed, applying the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 3, we deduce M is not a Seifert fibered manifold since the Seifert fibered structures induced on the outer component of ∂M_0 and ∂M_1 and the inner component of ∂M_0 and ∂M_2 cannot be simultaneously preserved. A similar argument using the uniqueness of the Seifert fibered structure of the central piece M_0 is given in [4]. We say that $(M, \mathcal{F})$ is given by *Hirsch surgery* from the foliated manifolds $(M_1, \mathcal{F}_1)$ and $(M_2, \mathcal{F}_2)$.

Proposition 5. *Let $(M, \mathcal{F})$ be a foliated manifold given by Hirsch surgery from two linear foliations on $\mathbb{T}^3$. All the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ are geometrically infinite hyperbolic surfaces. There are countably many leaves with cyclic holonomy*

Proof. Assume that the two linear foliations that constitute the starting point of the construction are the suspension of two irrational rotations R_α and R_β. Let M_1, M_2 and M_0 be, as above, the three Seifert fibered pieces in the JSJ decomposition of M. Any fiber of M_0 is a complete closed transversal for M. For example, take the singular fiber of M_0 as the closed transversal.

The holonomy pseudogroup reduced to this complete transversal is generated by the doubling map $f(z) = z^2$ and the irrational rotations $R_\alpha(z) = e^{2\pi i\alpha}z$ and $R_\beta(z) = e^{2\pi i\beta}z$ for every point $z = e^{2\pi ix}$ in the fiber. As proved by B. Seke [47], there is a global holonomy representation of $\pi_1(M)$ into the affine group $\mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{R})$ whose image Γ generates the holonomy pseudogroup (up to equivalence). Since the linear part of any element of Γ is an integer power of 2, the stabilizer Γ_x of any point $x \in \mathbb{R}$ must be trivial or cyclic. This means that the leaf passing through the point z associated to x in the fiber has at most cyclic holonomy. But there always exist points with nontrivial stabilizers, and then the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ has holonomy.

Notice that, by construction, all leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ contain infinitely many pairs of pants, which approach all their ends, so they are geometrically infinite hyperbolic surfaces. If α and β are linearly dependent over $\mathbb{Q}$, the ends of leaves are nonplanar.

□

Remark 2. (i) The foliated manifold $(M, \mathcal{F})$ is different from the classical Hirsch foliated manifold: neither M is homeomorphic to the manifold obtained from M_0 by gluing together its two boundary components, nor the holonomy pseudogroup of $\mathcal{F}$ can be reduced to the pseudogroup generated by the doubling map $f(z) = z^2$.

(ii) As in the preprint [56] by B. Yu, we can replace $\gamma = \gamma_1 \cup \gamma_2$ with an *exchangeably braided link* and M_0 with the complement of this kind of link in $\mathbb{S}^3$. According to [40], a two-component link $\gamma = \gamma_1 \cup \gamma_2$ in $\mathbb{S}^3$ is said to be *exchangeably braided* if each component is braided relative to the other one. Similarly to the Hirsch surgery, the transversely affine foliations that we obtain by this procedure are different from the examples by Yu: neither the ambient manifolds are homeomorphic nor the holonomy groups are conjugated.

4. Foliations without holonomy on hyperbolic 3-manifolds

In the previous sections, we have constructed minimal foliations by hyperbolic surfaces on 3-manifolds with geometries $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\widetilde{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ and graph-manifolds. From now on, we deal with the construction of this kind of foliations on hyperbolic 3-manifolds. Under some homological conditions that will be made precise next, it is actually possible to construct foliations whose leaves have trivial holonomy.

A Tischler type construction. Let Σ be a closed orientable surface of genus $g \geq 2$. Firstly, let us remark that any pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism is a C^∞ diffeomorphism except at the singularities of $\mathcal{F}^{\pm}_{\varphi}$, but according to [25] there is a C^∞ diffeomorphism $\varphi : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ which is topologically conjugate through a homeomorphism isotopic to the identity. We say that φ is *of pseudo-Anosov type*.

Let M_φ be the corresponding mapping torus, and let $q : M_\varphi \to \mathbb{S}^1$ be the natural smooth fibration over $\mathbb{S}^1$. Assume that its first Betti number $\beta_1 \geq 2$. The canonical 1-form $d\theta$ on $\mathbb{S}^1$ lifts to an exact 1-form $\omega_0 = q^*(d\theta)$ on M_φ. Let ω be a nonvanishing closed 1-form on M_φ which is $\mathbb{C}^\infty$ close to ω_0 and such that its group of periods $Per(\omega)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^r$ for some $2 \leq r \leq \beta_1$. Recall that $Per(\omega)$ is the

additive subgroup of $\mathbb{R}$ generated by the set of integrals $\int_{\gamma_i} \omega$ where $\{\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_{\beta_1}\}$ are loops representing a basis of $H_1(M_\varphi, \mathbb{R})$. The nonvanishing 1-form ω defines a foliation without holonomy $\mathcal{F}$ on the hyperbolic manifold M_φ whose leaves are diffeomorphic to a regular covering of Σ with deck transformation group isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^{r-1}$ (see for example [33, § VIII.1.1]). Since $\mathcal{F}$ is a smooth foliation without holonomy and its leaves are non-compact, Sacksteder's theorem (see [12, Theorem 8.2.1] and [33, Theorem VI.3.2]) implies that it is minimal.

In fact, any C^2 foliation without holonomy $\mathcal{F}$ on a fiber bundle $M = M_\varphi$ with pseudo-Anosov monodromy φ is of the type described above. Up to conjugation, $\mathcal{F}$ is defined by a closed 1-form ω whose De Rham cohomology class $[\omega] \in H^1_{DR}(M)$ is completely determined by the morphism of periods $Per_\omega : H_1(M, \mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}$. The leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ have polynomial growth of degree bounded from above by $\beta_1 - 1$. In particular, if M has first Betti number $\beta_1 = 1$, $\mathcal{F}$ is defined by the fibration.

Action on homology of pseudo-Anosov homeomorphisms. Now, let us explain how the first Betti number β_1 is related to the action of φ on the first homology group $H_1(M_\varphi, \mathbb{R})$. The mapping class group $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma)$ of Σ is the group of orientation-preserving homeomorphisms up to isotopy. Given a base $\{[\alpha_1], [\beta_1], \ldots, [\alpha_g], [\beta_g]\}$ of the vector space $H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}^{2g}$, the algebraic intersection number defines a symplectic structure on $H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{R})$. Each element $\varphi \in \mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma)$ induces a automorphism $\varphi : H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{R}) \to H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{R})$ respecting this structure and hence the mapping class group $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma)$ admits a *homology representation*

$$\Psi : \mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma) \to \mathrm{Sp}(2\mathrm{g}, \mathbb{Z})$$

where

$$Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z}) = \{\, A \in GL(2g, \mathbb{Z}) \,:\, A^t J A = J \,\}$$

and

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_g \\ -I_g & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The *Torelli group* $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$ is the subgroup of $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma)$ consisting of those elements which act trivially on the symplectic vector space $H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{R})$, that is, the kernel of the homology representation Ψ. More generally, we have the following definition:

Definition 2. For each element $\varphi \in \mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma)$, we denote by $k(\varphi)$ the dimension of the invariant subspace of $H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{R})$ which is fixed by φ_*. We say φ is *partially Torelli of order k*. In particular, if $\varphi \in \mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$, then φ_* is the identity Id and hence $k(\varphi) = 2g$.

By Wang's homology sequence, the first homology group $H_1(M_\varphi, \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}^{k(\varphi)+1}$ and the first Betti number $\beta_1 = k(\varphi) + 1$. Moreover, there exist pseudo-Anosov homeomorphisms which are partially Torelli of order k, for any $0 \leq k \leq 2g$. Explicit examples have been constructed by I. Agol, C. J. Leininger and D. Margalit in [1] for $k > 0$ and by R. C. Penner in [44] for $k = 0$.

5. Foliations via branched coverings

In this section, we are interested in a very general method for adding topological complexity to the ambient manifold and the leaves of a foliation:

Theorem 3. *Let $\pi : M' \to M$ be a branched covering of closed smooth n-manifolds, which is branched along a submanifold B of M of dimension $q \geq 1$. Assume that B is tranverse to a codimension q smooth foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of M. Then $\mathcal{F}$ lifts to a codimension q smooth foliation $\mathcal{F}'$ of M' that coincides with the lifted foliation $\pi^*\mathcal{F}$ on the complement of the ramification locus $B' = \pi^{-1}(B)$.*

We will prove this theorem for $q = 1$. In this case the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ is given by a 1-form ω on M such that $\omega \wedge d\omega = 0$. For $q > 1$, the proof can be obtained by straightforward modifications, considering q locally defined 1-forms $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_q$ which satisfy the Frobenius condition $d\omega_i \wedge \omega_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_q, i = 1, \dots q$ (see for example [32, Theorem II.2.4.4]).

Proof. Since the 1-form $\omega' = \pi^*\omega$ satisfies $\omega' \wedge d\omega' = \pi^*(\omega \wedge d\omega) = 0$ on $M' - B'$, this defines a codimension one foliation on $M' - B'$. This foliation is just the lifted foliation of the foliation induced by $\mathcal{F}$ on $M - B$ with respect to the covering $\pi : M' - B' \to M - B$. Moreover, there are tubular neighborhoods N' of B' and N of B for some Riemannian metrics on M' and M such that the restriction of π to each fiber of N' is a branched covering of a fiber of N. Since B is transverse to $\mathcal{F}$, the tubular neighborhood N of B can be chosen so that the fibers are disks tangent to $\mathcal{F}$. Let N' be the tubular neighborhood of B' of radius ε for the lifted Riemannian metric on $M' - B'$. It follows that ω' annihilates the tangent plane to any fiber of N' at every point outside B'. Now, we can extend ω' to the whole vertical bundle which is tangent to the fibers of N' by annihilating also the tangent planes to the fibers at the points of B'. Since the ramification locus B' is diffeomorphically sent onto the branch locus B, we can use ω to extend ω' to the tangent bundle of N. We still denote by ω' the 1-form on M' which is obtained by this construction. By continuity, this 1-form satisfies that $\omega' \wedge d\omega' = 0$ on M' since $\omega' \wedge d\omega' = 0$ on $M' - B'$. Therefore, ω' defines a foliation $\mathcal{F}'$ on M' that coincides with the lifted foliation $\pi^*\mathcal{F}$ on $M' - B'$. □

Minimal foliations on hyperbolic 3-manifolds. Now, we will apply Theorem 3 to construct minimal smooth foliations by geometrically infinite hyperbolic surfaces on a large family of hyperbolic 3-manifolds that fiber over $\mathbb{S}^1$. Let $\varphi : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ be an orientation-preserving pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism of a closed orientable surface Σ of genus $g \geq 2$. Now, we assume the measured foliations $\mathcal{F}^{\pm}_{\varphi}$ are orientable.

Definition 3. We say φ is *of Franks-Rykken type* if there are a hyperbolic toral automorphism $A : \mathbb{T}^2 \to \mathbb{T}^2$ and a branched covering $\pi_0 : \Sigma \to \mathbb{T}^2$ such that π_0 is a semiconjugacy from φ to A that makes the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \Sigma \\ {\scriptstyle \pi_0}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_0} \\ \mathbb{T}^2 & \xrightarrow{A} & \mathbb{T}^2 \end{array} \tag{5.1}$$

Notice that the branch locus of π_0 is included in the set of periodic points of A.

In [22], J. Franks and E. Rykken give a sufficient condition to have monodromy of this type: the stretch factor of φ must be quadratic over $\mathbb{Q}$. In fact, since any pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism of Franks-Rykken type has the same stretch factor as a linear automorphism of $\mathbb{T}^2$, it is clear that this is also necessary. Under this condition, we are able to prove that a hyperbolic 3-manifold that fibers over $\mathbb{S}^1$ admits a codimension one smooth minimal foliation by geometrically infinite surfaces. Let $\varphi : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ be a pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism making Diagram (5.1) commutative. The branched covering $\pi_0 \times Id : \Sigma \times [0, 1] \to \mathbb{T}^2 \times [0, 1]$ induces by passing to the quotient a branched covering $\pi : M_\varphi \to \mathbb{T}^3_A$ from the mapping torus M_φ of φ to the mapping torus $\mathbb{T}^3_A$ of A such that the following diagram also

commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Sigma & \hookrightarrow & M_\varphi & \xrightarrow{q} & \mathbb{S}^1 \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_0} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} & & \| \\ \mathbb{T}^2 & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{T}^3_A & \xrightarrow{q_0} & \mathbb{S}^1 \end{array} \tag{5.2}$$

where q and q_0 are the corresponding fibrations over $\mathbb{S}^1$.

Theorem 4. *Let $M = M_\varphi$ be a closed orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold that fibers over the circle $\mathbb{S}^1$. Asume that the stretch factor of φ is quadratic over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then M admit a minimal transversely affine smooth foliation $\mathcal{F}$ whose leaves are geometrically infinite hyperbolic surfaces.*

Proof. According to [22, Theorem 2.3], the monodromy φ is semiconjugate to a linear automorphism A of the torus $\mathbb{T}^2$ by a branched covering $\pi_0 : \Sigma \to \mathbb{T}^2$. The linear foliations $\mathcal{F}^\pm_A$ of $\mathbb{T}^2$ lift to singular foliations $\mathcal{F}^\pm_\varphi$ of Σ having the same stretch factor $\lambda > 1$. Except at the singularities of $\mathcal{F}^\pm_\varphi$, φ is a C^∞ diffeomorphism. But up to conjugation by a homeomorphism isotopic to the identity, we can assume that φ is a C^∞ diffeomorphism of pseudo-Anosov type [25].

As we have shown above, see Diagram (5.2), the branched covering $\pi_0 : \Sigma \to \mathbb{T}^2$ extends to a branched covering $\pi : M \to \mathbb{T}^3_A$ from the hyperbolic 3-manifold M to the 3-manifold $\mathbb{T}^3_A$. We shall apply Theorem 3 to the center-unstable foliation $\mathcal{F}_0$ associated to the Anosov flow, which has already been described in Section 2, to construct $\mathcal{F}$.

By definition, the branch locus of π is a link in $\mathbb{T}^3_A$ made up of periodic orbits $\mathcal{O}_1, \dots, \mathcal{O}_n$ of the Anosov flow and the ramification locus of π is a link in M made up of periodic orbits of the pseudo-Anosov flow whose component project diffeomorphically onto the orbits $\mathcal{O}_i$. If we apply Theorem 3 to the compact foliation of $\mathbb{T}^3_A$ whose leaves are the toric fibers of q_0, we retrieve the compact foliation of M whose leaves are the fibers of q.

However, to apply Theorem 3 to the minimal foliation $\mathcal{F}_0$, we need to replace the orbits $\mathcal{O}_1, \dots, \mathcal{O}_n$ tangent to $\mathcal{F}_0$ by isotopic closed transversals $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ to both the fiber bundle over $\mathbb{S}^1$ and the foliation $\mathcal{F}_0$. Furthermore, we can assume that there is a Riemannian metric on $\mathbb{T}^3_A$ such that the tangent vector to γ_i at any point p is orthogonal to the leaf of $\mathcal{F}_0$ passing through p. Thus, up to isotopy, the ramification locus at the branching locus of the branched covering $\pi : M \to \mathbb{T}^3_A$ can be assumed transverse to the fibre bundles over $\mathbb{S}^1$ and the foliations on M and T^3_A respectively. Then Theorem 3 implies that $\mathcal{F}_0$ lifts to a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of codimension one and class C^∞ on M. By minimality, each component γ_i of the branching locus is a complete transversal to $\mathcal{F}_0$ and therefore each component of the ramification locus is a complete transversal to $\mathcal{F}$. The local description of the branched cover around this complete transversal tells us that the holonomy pseudogroups induced on the complete transversal $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}_0$ are conjugate. Therefore, $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}_0$ share the same transverse structure represented by these pseudogroups and hence $\mathcal{F}$ is minimal and transversely affine (see [2] for properties of $\mathcal{F}_0$).

Finally, let us prove that leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ are geometrically infinite hyperbolic surfaces. Each leaf $L \in \mathcal{F}$ is a branched cover of some leaf $L_0 \in \mathcal{F}_0$. The leaf L_0 is homeomorphic to the plane or the cylinder. By minimality, L_0 contains countably many branch points in each component of the branch locus, and each end of L_0 is approached by these branch points. The leaf L also contains countably many ramification points with the same index in each component of the ramification locus, and each end of L is also approached by these ramification points. Note that L has exactly the same number of ends as L_0. We cover L_0 by an increasing sequence of closed disk or cylinders D_k (depending on L_0 is a plane or a cylinder) that contain

a finite number of branch points $p_1, \dots, p_k$. Then L can be covered by an increasing sequence of branched covers D'_k of D_k. Now, the Riemann-Hurwitz formula implies that

$$\chi(D'_k) = d\chi(D_k) - \sum_{i=1}^{k} d_i(e_i - 1) \leq d - k$$

is not bounded from below, where d is the degre of the branched cover and d_i is the number of ramification points over each branch point p_i having ramification index equal to e_i. Consequently, all leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ have infinite genus. Furthermore, no end is planar. □

Actually, the foliations constructed using Theorem 4 come in pairs from the center-unstable and center stable foliations of the Anosov flow.

Remark 3. Let γ be a link in $\mathbb{T}^3_A$ transverse to the fibration over $\mathbb{S}^1$ and the foliation $\mathcal{F}_0$, which is not necessarily isotopic to a link of periodic orbits of the Anosov flow. Let M be a branched cover of $\mathbb{T}^3_A$ with branch locus γ. The proof of Theorem 4 implies that M admits both a minimal transversely affine smooth foliation $\mathcal{F}$ whose leaves are geometrically infinite hyperbolic surfaces and a fiber bundle structure over $\mathbb{S}^1$. The branched covering $\pi : M \to \mathbb{T}^3_A$ induces a branched covering $\pi_0 : \Sigma \to \mathbb{T}^2$ between the fibers of q and q_0, as in the commutative diagram (5.2), although now the monodromy φ is not necessarily of pseudo-Anosov type, and therefore we cannot guarantee that M is hyperbolic.

Action on homology of pseudo-Anosov maps of Franks-Rykken type. As in Section 4, it is natural to ask about the action on homology of a pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism $\varphi : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ making Diagram (5.1) commutative. Let us consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_*} & H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{R}) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle (\pi_0)_*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (\pi_0)_*} \\ H_1(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{A_*} & H_1(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{R}), \end{array} \tag{5.3}$$

which is induced by Diagram (5.1) in homology. Since A_* fixes no nontrivial subspace of $H_1(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{R})$, we deduce that the subspace of $H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{R})$ fixed by φ_* is contained in the kernel of $(\pi_0)_*$. Therefore, its dimension $k(\varphi) \leq 2g - 2$, and hence M_φ has first Betti number $\beta_1 = k(\varphi) + 1 \leq 2g - 1$. In particular, there are no pseudo-Anosov homeomorphisms which are of Franks-Rykken type and Torelli simultaneously. It follows that there are hyperbolic 3-manifolds admitting foliations without holonomy which do not admit transversely affine foliations obtained via branched coverings. But we ignore if there exist pseudo-Anosov maps of Franks-Rykken type which are partially Torelli of order $1 \leq k \leq 2g - 2$.

Note that the case $k = 0$ (or equivalently $\beta_1 = 1$) has been left out of our discussion. In Section 4, we needed to assume $\beta_1 > 1$ in order to construct foliations without holonomy by geometrically infinite hyperbolic surfaces on hyperbolic 3-manifolds. However, in next Section 6, we shall construct minimal foliations by geometrically infinite hyperbolic surface on a hyperbolic 3-manifold with first Betti number $\beta_1 = 1$.

6. Two bare hands examples

The construction of Theorem 4 is illustrated in this section by describing two specific situations where the monodromy is of Franks-Rykken type:



FIGURE 3. 2-fold branched covering of D

Laudenbach's construction. As in the proof of Theorem 4, let $\mathbb{T}^3_A$ be a closed orientable 3-manifold obtained from the suspension of Arnold's cat map

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

or another linear automorphism (1.1) with $|\, tr(A)\,| = |a+d| > 2$. In this context, the center-unstable foliation associated to this Anosov flow is still denoted by $\mathcal{F}_0$. We exemplify Theorem 4 by constructing directly a branched cover of $\mathbb{T}^3_A$ endowed with a foliation by hyperbolic surfaces obtained from $\mathcal{F}_0$.

Let us first construct a pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism $\varphi : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ lifting the linear automorphism A. Given any even number $n = 2g-2$ with $g \geq 2$, we choose n periodic points $p_1, \dots, p_n$ of the Anosov diffeomorphism A and the corresponding periodic orbits $\mathcal{O}_1, \dots, \mathcal{O}_n$ of the Anosov flow. Up to replacing A by one of its powers, we can actually assume that $p_1, \dots, p_n$ are fixed by A. Then there is a closed orientable surface Σ of genus g which is the total space of a 2-fold branched covering of the torus $\mathbb{T}^2$ with branch points $p_1, \dots p_n$. The fundamental group of $\mathbb{T}^2 - \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ is a free group generated by the two generators m and p of $\pi_1(\mathbb{T}^2)$ and $n-1$ classes $\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ which are represented by loops around the holes $p_2, \dots, p_n$. Take a branched covering $\pi_0 : \Sigma \to \mathbb{T}^2$ associated to a representation

$$\rho : \pi_1(\mathbb{T}^2 - \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}) \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \tag{6.4}$$

such that $\rho(\alpha_i) = \overline{1}$ is the generator of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ for $i = 2, \dots, n$. Since n is even, $\rho(\alpha_1) = \sum_{i=2}^n \rho(\alpha_i) = \overline{1}$ and the branch covering is also nontrivial around p_1. In other words, this branched cover is constructed as follows:

(1) we choose a small closed disk D_i centered at p_i;
(2) we take the 2-fold branched covering $\pi_0 : D_i' \to D_i$ with branch point p_i which is associated to the representation ρ_i sending the generator α_i of $\pi_1(D_i - \{p_i\})$ to the generator of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, see Figure 3;
(3) we remove the interiors of the disks D_i and we glue together the 2-fold regular covering of $\mathbb{T}^2 - \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n \mathring{D}_i$ given by the representation (6.4) and the disks $D_1', \dots, D_n'$ using a diffeomorphism from each boundary $\partial D_i'$ to the 2-fold covering of ∂D_i, see Figure 4.

The Riemann-Hurwitz formula tells us that

$$\chi(\Sigma) = 2\chi(\mathbb{T}^2) - \sum_{i=1}^n (e_i - 1) = 2 - 2g,$$

where $e_i = 2$ is the index of the unique ramification point over p_i. By the choice of the branch points, A lifts to pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism $\varphi : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ with the same stretch factor, see [18, Exposé 13]. In the case $n = g = 2$, one can explicitly compute the action of φ_* on $H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{R})$, and see that it does not admit any invariant subspace. Therefore, the first Betti number of the mapping torus M_φ is 1.



FIGURE 4. A 2-fold branched covering of the torus with $n = 2$ branched points

As in the proof of Theorem 4, to construct the branched cover of $\mathbb{T}^3_A$, let us consider an isotopy between $\mathcal{O}_i$ and a closed transversal γ_i to both the fibration q_0 and the foliation $\mathcal{F}_0$. Let M be the 2-*fold branched cover* of $\mathbb{T}^3_A$ with *branch locus* $\gamma = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n \gamma_i$ constructed as follows:

(1) we choose a small tubular neighborhood $\psi_i : D_i \times \mathbb{S}^1 \to V_i$ of the closed transversal $\gamma_i = \psi(\{0\} \times \mathbb{S}^1)$ such that each horizontal disk $D_i \times \{z\}$ define a disk D_{p_i} in the leaf of $\mathcal{F}_0$ passing through $p_i = \psi_i(0, z)$;
(2) we take a 2-fold branched covering $\pi_0 \times id : D'_i \times \mathbb{S}^1 \to D_i \times \mathbb{S}^1$ with branch locus γ_i which is defined by the representation sending the generator α_i of the first direct summand of $\pi_1\big((D_i - \{p_i\}) \times \mathbb{S}^1\big) \cong \pi_1(D_i - \{p_i\}) \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ to the generator of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and the generator of the second direct summand to 0;
(3) we remove the interiors of the tubular neighborhoods $V_i \cong \mathring{D}_i \times \mathbb{S}^1$ and we glue together the 2-fold regular covering of $\mathbb{T}^3_A - \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n \mathring{V}_i$ (which is given by the trivial extension of the representation (6.4) to $\pi_1\big(\mathbb{T}^3_A - \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n \mathring{V}_i\big)$) and the solid tori $D'_i \times \mathbb{S}^1$ using a diffeomorphism from each boundary $\partial D' \times \mathbb{S}^1$ to the 2-fold covering of $\partial V_i \cong \partial D \times \mathbb{S}^1$.

Since there is an ambient isotopy sending γ_i to $\mathcal{O}_i$, the smooth manifold M is homeomorphic to the mapping torus M_φ of the pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism φ. In this way, the foliation $\mathcal{F}_0$ of $\mathbb{T}^3_A$ turns into a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of M whose leaves are 2-fold branched coverings of the leaves of $\mathcal{F}_0$ with countably many branch points. Reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 4, we can see that no leaf has a planar end.

Translation surfaces. In Laudenbach's approach, the number of branch points must always be even. We shall see now how to construct a branched cover of a torus with a single branch point.



FIGURE 5. Flat sphere glued from two squares

Let d and a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 be integers such that

$$0 < a_i \leq d \ , \qquad gcd(d, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) = 1 \ , \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{4} a_i = 0 \ (\text{mod } d). \tag{6.5}$$

Given four distinct points $z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4 \in \mathbb{C}$, we consider the Riemann surface

$$\Sigma_d(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) = \{ \, (z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \, | \, w^d = (z - z_1)^{a_1}(z - z_2)^{a_2}(z - z_3)^{a_3}(z - z_4)^{a_4} \, \}$$

which is a branched cover of the Riemann sphere $\mathbb{C}P^1$ with branch points z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4. The group of deck transformations is the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ formed by the transformations $\tau(z, w) = (z, \zeta w)$ where ζ is a primitive d-th root of unity. As explained in [21], up to a factor, the quadratic differential

$$q = \frac{(dz)^2}{(z - z_1)(z - z_2)(z - z_3)(z - z_4)}$$

defines a flat structure on $\mathbb{C}P^1$ with singularities at the poles z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, which can be obtained by identifying the boundaries of two copies of the unit square, see Figure 5. The total space of the branched covering $\pi_0 : \Sigma_d(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) \to \mathbb{C}P^1$ is a *square-tiled surface*. This d-fold branched covering has $gcd(d, a_i)$ ramification points over each branch point z_i whose indices are equal to $d/gcd(d, a_i)$.

If d is even and all a_i are odd, there is a holomorphic form ω such that $\omega^2 = \pi_0^* q$ and $\Sigma_d(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)$ becomes a *translation surface*. In general, by the Riemann-Hurwitz formula, the Euler charasteristic of $\Sigma_d(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)$ is given by

$$\chi(\Sigma_d(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)) = d\chi(\mathbb{C}P^1) - \sum_{i=1}^{4} gcd(d, a_i)\big(d/gcd(d, a_i) - 1\big) = \sum_{i=1}^{4} gcd(d, a_i) - 2d$$

and hence $\Sigma_d(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)$ has genus

$$g = d + 1 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{4} gcd(d, a_i). \tag{6.6}$$

By choosing a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 such that $gcd(d, a_i) = 1$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, we deduce from (6.6) that $\Sigma_d(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)$ is a closed surface of genus $g = d - 1$ Then $\Sigma_d(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)$ becomes a $2d$-fold branched cover of the torus $\mathbb{T}^2$ with a single branch point and four ramification points having ramification index $d/2$. In restriction to a small closed neighborhood D of the unique branch point p, this $2d$-fold branched cover splits into four disjoint copies D'_1, D'_2, D'_3, D'_4 of the standard $d/2$-fold branched cover of D with a unique ramification point. The fundamental group of $D - \{p\} \cong \mathbb{Z}$ acts on $\mathbb{Z}/2d\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/(d/2)\mathbb{Z}$ by cyclic permutation of the elements



FIGURE 6. Translation surface $\Sigma_4(1,1,1,1)$

of $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z}/(d/2)\mathbb{Z}$. Thus, the branched covering $\pi : \Sigma_d(a_1,a_2,a_3,a_4) \to \mathbb{T}^2$ and the induced regular covering

$$\pi : \Sigma_d(a_1,a_2,a_3,a_4) - \bigcup_{i=1}^{4} \mathring{D}'_i \to \mathbb{T}^2 - \mathring{D}$$

are defined by a representation

$$\rho : \pi_1(\mathbb{T}^2 - \{p\}) \to \mathbb{Z}/2d\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/(d/2)\mathbb{Z}. \tag{6.7}$$

Like in Laudenbach's construction, any Anosov diffeomorphism A lifts to a pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism $\varphi : \Sigma_d(a_1,a_2,a_3,a_4) \to \Sigma_d(a_1,a_2,a_3,a_4)$. For example, the translation surface $\Sigma_4(1,1,1,1)$ represented in Figure 6 is a 8-fold branched cover of $\mathbb{T}^2$ with four ramification points of index 2.

Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the periodic orbit of the Anosov flow that is given by the unique fixed point of A. There is a isotopy between $\mathcal{O}$ and a closed transversal γ to both the fiber bundle over $\mathbb{S}^1$ and the foliation $\mathcal{F}$. As before, we consider the *$2d$-fold branched cover* M of $\mathbb{T}^3_A$ with *branch locus* γ constructed as follows:

(1) we choose a small tubular neighborhood $\psi : D \times \mathbb{S}^1 \to V$ of the closed transversal $\gamma = \psi(\{0\} \times \mathbb{S}^2)$ such that each horizontal disk $D \times \{z\}$ defines a disk D_p in the leaf L_p of $\mathcal{F}$ passing through $p = \psi(0,z)$;
(2) we take a $d/2$-fold branched covering $\pi \times id : D' \times \mathbb{S}^1 \to D \times \mathbb{S}^1$ with branch locus γ which is given by the representation sending the generator of the first direct summand of $\pi_1\big((D - \{0\}) \times \mathbb{S}^1\big) \cong \pi_1(D - \{0\}) \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ to the generator of $\mathbb{Z}/(d/2)\mathbb{Z}$ and the generator of the second direct summand to 0;
(3) we remove $\mathring{V} \cong \mathring{D} \times \mathbb{S}^1$ from the manifold M, we take the $2d$-fold covering of $M - \mathring{V}$ (which is given by the trivial extension of the representation (6.7) to $\pi_1\big(M - \mathring{V}\big)$), and finally we attach four copies of the $d/2$-fold branched covering $D' \times \mathbb{S}^1$ using a diffeomorphism from the boundary $\partial D' \times \mathbb{S}^1$ to each of four connected components of the $2d$-fold regular covering induced over the boundary $\partial V \cong \partial D \times \mathbb{S}^1$ of $M - \mathring{V}$.

As previously, $\mathcal{F}_0$ turns into a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on M whose leaves are $2d$-fold branched coverings of the leaves of $\mathcal{F}_0$ with countably many branch points. There are four ramification points with ramification index $d/2$ over each branch point. Riemann-Hurwitz formula tells us again that any leaf has infinite genus and no end is planar.

Remark 4. The same construction remains valid in the case where d is even, all a_i are odd, and $\Sigma_d(a_1,a_2,a_3,a_4)$ is a $2d$-fold branched covering of $\mathbb{T}^2$ with a single branch point and $gcd(d,a_i)$ ramification points having ramification index $d/2gcd(d,a_i)$. We can also combine the construction of a branched covering of the torus with a single branch point and Laudenbach's procedure with an even number

of branch point in order to obtain a branched covering of the torus with an arbitray odd number of branch points.

Remark 5. Let $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a lattice generated by two elements ω_1 and ω_2 which are linearly independent over $\mathbb{R}$. The quotient $\mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ can be identified to the torus $\mathbb{T}^2$, and we can define a new equivalence relation by identifying each class represented by $z \in \mathbb{C}$ to the class represented by $-z$. This involution defines a branched covering of the torus $\mathbb{T}^2$ over the quotient $\mathbb{T}^2/\{\pm 1\}$ with four ramification points correspondig to the four classes modulo Λ represented by $z \in \frac{1}{2}\Lambda$. By the Riemann-Hurwitz formula, the quotient is a Riemann surface of genus 0. In fact, as explained by J. Milnor in [38], this branched covering is induced by the *Weierstrass $\wp$-function* $\wp : \mathbb{C} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ given by

$$\wp(z) = \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{(n,m)\in\mathbb{Z}\oplus\mathbb{Z}-\{(0,0)\}} \Big(\frac{1}{(z - m\omega_1 - n\omega_2)^2} - \frac{1}{(m\omega_1 + n\omega_2)^2} \Big)$$

for each $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Using a Weierstrass $\wp$-function, one can see that the translation surface $\Sigma_d(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)$ is also a $d/2$-fold branched cover of the torus $\mathbb{T}^2$ with four branch points and $gcd(d, a_i)$ ramification points of index $d/2gcd(d, a_i)$ over each branch point.

7. A taut foliation which is not $\mathbb{R}$-covered

All the previous examples are of foliations which are $\mathbb{R}$-covered, that is, when they are lifted to the universal covering of the foliated manifold, the leaf space is the line. This should not be typical, since many leaf spaces are not Hausdorff – and, in fact, leaf spaces provide natural examples of non-Hausdorff manifolds.

This section is devoted to the construction of a minimal foliation by hyperbolic surfaces $\mathcal{F}$ on a compact 3-manifold M with the property that, if $\tilde{M}$ is the universal covering space of M, the lifted foliation $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ has a leaf space which is not only non-Hausdorff: pairs of non-separated points are dense. More precisely, this leaf space is Hæfliger and Reeb's *plume composée*, the unique –up to homeomorphism– simply connected one-dimensional manifold that has a dense subset of non-separated points (see [29]).

Theorem 5. *There exist a closed 3-manifold M with a taut foliation $\mathcal{F}$ such that the lifting $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ to its universal covering $\tilde{M}$ has leaf space homeomorphic to the "plume composée".*

Proof. Let Γ be a cocompact Fuchsian group. Let $N = T^1(\Sigma) = \Gamma\backslash PSL(2,\mathbb{R})$ be the unit tangent bundle of the hyperbolic surface $\Sigma = \Gamma\backslash\mathbb{H}^2$. We take the center-stable foliation of the geodesic flow on N, which will be called $\mathcal{G}$.

The construction of $(M, \mathcal{F})$ will be as follows: We will consider a simple closed curve γ in N which will be transverse to the foliation $\mathcal{G}$. We will remove from N a tubular neighboorhood of this curve, thus obtaining a manifold with boundary W, whose only boundary component is a torus. Finally, we will glue two copies of W along the boundary torus, and the result of this glueing will be the compact manifold M. We will do this in such a way that the foliations in the two copies give a smooth foliation $\mathcal{F}$. The foliation $\mathcal{F}$ will have the desired property *provided the curve γ is chosen appriopriately.*

Under the natural identification of the unitary tangent bundle $T^1(\mathbb{H}^2)$ of the hyperbolic plane with $PSL(2,\mathbb{R})$, the foliation $\mathcal{G}$ on N lifts to a foliation defined by a natural right action of the affine group on $PSL(2,\mathbb{R})$. The leaf space of the orbit foliation is the homogeneous manifold $PSL(2,\mathbb{R})/Aff(\mathbb{R})$, diffeomorphic to $\partial\mathbb{H}^2 \cong \mathbb{S}^1$, and the left action of Γ on this leaf space is minimal.

The universal cover $\tilde{N} = \widetilde{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ of N is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, and the foliation $\mathcal{G}$ lifts to a foliation $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$, which comes from the lifted affine action. The leaf space is the universal cover of $\partial\mathbb{H}^2$, and therefore $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ is $\mathbb{R}$-covered.

Let $\Lambda = \pi_1(N)$ be the fundamental group of N. One has the non-splitting exact sequence:

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{j} \Lambda \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow 1$$

which describes $\Lambda = \pi_1(N)$ as a central extension of Γ. The group Λ acts properly discontinously on $\tilde{N}$ commuting with the right affine action, therefore permutting the leaves of $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$. Since $\alpha = j(1)$, the generator of the center of Λ, acts without fixed points, we can assume that it is conjugate to the translation $t \mapsto t+1$. For each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the leaf of $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ corresponding to t will be denoted by $\tilde{L}_t$, and the projected leaf of $\mathcal{G}$ by L_t. The action of Λ on the leaf space $\mathbb{R}$ is still minimal.

Up to a reparametrization of $\mathbb{R}$, assume L_0 is not simply connected, that is, it is a cylinder. There exists $g \in \Lambda$ such that $g(0) = 0$. Since g commutes with α, we also have $g(1) = 1$. Take $t \in (0,1)$ such that L_t is simply connected. Then t is not fixed by g, and the entire g-orbit of t is contained in $(0,1)$ as g preserves orientation in $\mathbb{R}$. The infimum and the supremum of this g-orbit are also fixed points of g. Let $a = \inf\{g^n(t),\ n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $b = \sup\{g^n(t),\ t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

There exists a smooth curve $\tilde{\gamma} : [t, g(t)] \to \tilde{N}$ such that $\tilde{\gamma}$ is transverse to the leaves of $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ and $\tilde{\gamma}(s) \in \tilde{L}_s$ for $t \leq s \leq g(t)$. Since $L_t = L_{g(t)}$, we can assume that $\tilde{\gamma}$ is the lifting of a simple closed curve $\gamma : [t, g(t)] \to N$ which is smooth and transverse to the leaves of $\mathcal{G}$. This is done by noticing that $g(\tilde{L}_t) = \tilde{L}_{g(t)}$, and choosing $\tilde{\gamma}$ in such a way that $\tilde{\gamma}(g(t)) = g(\tilde{\gamma}(t))$. This curve γ has the other following properties:

(1) The curve $\tilde{\gamma} : [t, g(t)] \to \tilde{N}$ extend to a simple closed curve $\tilde{\gamma} : (a,b) \to \tilde{N}$ that projects onto γ.
(2) The curve γ lifts to the universal covering $\tilde{N}$ of N to a countable infinite disjoint union of simple closed curves $\tilde{\gamma}_i : (a,b) \to \tilde{N}$. This family is invariant under the action of Λ, and each curve $\tilde{\gamma}_i : (a,b) \to \tilde{N}$ is obtained by the left translation of $\tilde{\gamma} : (a,b) \to \tilde{N}$ by some element of Λ.
(3) The set of leaves of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^s$ that intersect any connected component $\tilde{\gamma}_i$ of the lifting of γ is parametrized by the interval $(a,b) \subset \mathbb{R}$, which is open and bounded. In particular, it does not intersect all the leaves of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^s$.

As usual, we identify every simple curve with its image. Contrary to the curve γ, every lifting $\tilde{\tau}_i$ of the curve τ parametrizing a circle fiber of N (i.e. the unit tangent space at one point of Σ) is a curve in $\tilde{N}$ which meets all the leaves of $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$.

Let $V \cong D \times \mathbb{S}^1$ be a small tubular neighborhood of γ with boundary diffeomorphic to the torus $\mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ and transverse to the leaves of $\mathcal{G}$. We can assume that the foliation in $\mathbb{T}^2$ is horizontal by circles $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \{z\}$, $z \in \mathbb{S}^1$.

Let M be the double of the manifold with boundary $W = N - \mathring{V}$ obtained by removing the interior of V, i.e. M consists of two copies M' and M'' of $N - \mathring{V}$ glued along its boundary. We can assume that the foliations in the two copies glue together smoothly along the circles in the boundary to obtain a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on M. This foliation is taut since the boundary of V meets every leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ and each circle $\{z\} \times \mathbb{S}^1$ (which is parallel to γ into V) meets all leaves transversally. Consequently, the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ remains minimal. We shall denote again by γ one of these simple closed curves contained in the toral commun boundary.

Both M' and M'' inject their fundamental groups in the fundamental group of M. To see this, remark that it is enough to show it when the glueing map on the boundary torus is the identity, since it is isotopic to the identity in any case. It also suffices to prove it for one copy, say M'. Consider a loop c_0 in M', and assume that

there is a homotopy c_t in M taking c_0 to a constant loop c_1. If $\sigma : M \to M'$ is the identity on M' and the reflection $M'' \to M'$ on M'', then $\sigma \circ c_t$ is a homotopy in M' taking c_0 to a point. This shows that c_0 must be homotopically trivial in M'.

The boundary torus is also essential. This can be seen as a consequence of Novikov's theorem.

The fundamental group of M can be then described as an amalgamated product by Van Kampen's theorem.

The universal covering $\tilde{W}$ of $W = N - \mathring{V}$ has the following properties:

(1) The interior of $\tilde{W}$ is foliated by planes (since all leaves inject their fundamental groups in the fundamental grooup of the interior of W, again due to Novikov's theorem) and therefore by Palmeira's theorem it is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^3$.
(2) The boundary of $\tilde{W}$ consists of countable infinite planes, the liftings of the boundary, and each plane is foliated by parallel lines corresponding to the circle boundaries of the leaves.
(3) A simple closed curve τ which parametrizes a circle fiber and is entirely contained in $\mathring{W}$ lifts to $\tilde{W}$ to a countable infinite simple closed curves $\tilde{\tau}_i$ in the interior of $\tilde{W}$ and each curve $\tilde{\tau}_i$ meets every leaf in the interior in exactly one point. Therefore the leaf space of the lifted foliation is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$.
(4) Each boundary component contains exactly one connected component $\tilde{\gamma}_i$ of the lift of γ, which is a curve diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$. Therefore, the set of leaves that intersect each boundary plane component is parametrized by bounded open interval in $\mathbb{R}$ with the above identification of the leaf space of the foliation on $\tilde{W}$ with $\mathbb{R}$.

Let $\pi : \tilde{M} \to M$ be the universal covering projection. Let $\tilde{M}' = \pi^{-1}(M')$ and $\tilde{M}'' = \pi^{-1}(M'')$. Then $\tilde{M}'$ and $\tilde{M}''$ are disjoint unions $\tilde{M}' = \bigsqcup_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \tilde{W}'_i$ and $\tilde{M}'' = \bigsqcup_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \tilde{W}''_i$ where each $\tilde{W}'_i$ and $\tilde{W}''_i$ are diffeomorphic to $\tilde{W}$.

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ be the foliation which is the lift of $\mathcal{F}$ to $\tilde{M}$. Each connected component $\tilde{W}'_i$ is either disjoint from $\tilde{W}''_j$ with $j \neq i$, or else $\tilde{W}'_i$ and $\tilde{W}''_j$ intersect in a single boundary component since otherwise one can easily construct a loop in $\tilde{M}$ which is not homotopic to a constant and that would be a contradiction. This implies in particular that a leaf $\tilde{L} \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is either disjoint from $\tilde{W}'_i$ or $\tilde{W}''_j$ or intersects each of these sets in a connected set which is a leaf of the foliation in that set.

Each $\tilde{W}'_i$ and $\tilde{W}''_j$ contains a curve $\tilde{\tau}'_i$ and $\tilde{\tau}''_j$, each diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$, which meets every leaf in the interior of $\tilde{W}'$ and $\tilde{W}''$. Therefore the disjoint union $\tilde{T}$ of the countable collection of disjoint curves $\tilde{\tau}'_i$ and $\tilde{\tau}''_j$ is a complete transversal of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ because every leaf of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ meets at least one of these curves. Furthermore, any leaf $\tilde{L} \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is either disjoint to $\tilde{\tau}'_i$ or $\tilde{\tau}''_j$ or $\tilde{L}$ intersects $\tilde{\tau}'_i$ and $\tilde{\tau}''_j$ in exactly one point.

These curves $\tilde{\tau}'_i$ and $\tilde{\tau}''_j$ serve as coordinate charts of the leaf space, but we have to identify points on the same leaves. In other words, the leaf space of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is obtained from $\tilde{T}$ identifying two points if they belong to the same leaf. Thus a point in $\tilde{\tau}'_i$ (respectively $\tilde{\tau}''_j$) is not identified with other point in $\tilde{\tau}'_i$ (respectively $\tilde{\tau}''_j$).

If the indices i, j are such that $\tilde{W}'_i$ and $\tilde{W}''_j$ meet along a boundary component, due to property (4) above, this glues $\tilde{\tau}'_i$ and $\tilde{\tau}''_j$ along the interval (a, b). Since $\tilde{W}'_i$ and $\tilde{W}''_j$ intersect only along leaves which are parametrized by this interval (a, b), the leaves of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ passing through the points $\tilde{\tau}'_i(a)$ and $\tilde{\tau}''_j(a)$ (resp. $\tilde{\tau}'_i(b)$ and $\tilde{\tau}''_j(b)$) are not equal. This creates two pairs of non-Hausdorff branching points corresponding to the points a and b.

Since $\pi_1(M)$ acts minimally on the leaf space $\tilde{M}/\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$, this happens for a dense set of points in $\tilde{T}$ and therefore $\tilde{M}/\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is homeomorphic to the *plume composée*. □

Appendix: Properties of foliations constructed via branched coverings

Using Theorem 4, we have obtained foliations of hyperbolic 3-manifolds which share some interesting properties:

Tautness. As explained before, there are complete closed transversals to the foliations $\mathcal{F}_0$ and $\mathcal{F}$ which have the same holonomy pseudogroup. Hence $\mathcal{F}_0$ and $\mathcal{F}$ are minimal taut foliations with the same transverse dynamics. In particular, both foliations are transversely affine.

$\mathbb{R}$-covered foliations. Since the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ is taut, it has no Reeb component and Novikov's Theorem implies that each leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ embeds its fundamental group into the fundamental group of the hyperbolic manifold M. As proved in Section 1, $\mathcal{F}$ lifts to a foliation $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ by properly embedded hyperbolic planes on the universal cover $\tilde{M} \cong \mathbb{H}^3$. Moreover, since $\mathcal{F}_0$ and $\mathcal{F}$ have the same transverse structure, $\tilde{M}/\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ is $\mathbb{R}$-covered.

Uniform foliations. Foliations without holonomy constructed in Section 4 are *uniform* in the sense of Thurston [50], i.e. any two leaves in the universal cover are a bounded distance apart, see also [20] and [9, §9.3]. But all the examples constructed using Theorem 4 are not uniform since they have the same transverse structure as the unstable foliations of a Anosov diffeomorphism of the torus, see [9, Example 9.16] and [50, Example 2.3]. It was conjectured by Thurston in [50, Conjecture 2.4] that a foliation of a closed hyperbolic 3-manifold is $\mathbb{R}$-covered if and only if it is a uniform foliation, but there were counterexamples constructed by D. Calegari in [8].

Universal circle. According to a theorem by Thurston (see [10, Theorem 6.2] and [49]), any taut foliation by hyperbolic surfaces of an orientable 3-manifold admits a universal circle $\mathbb{S}^1_{univ}$. This means that there is an action of $\pi_1(M)$ on a circle $\mathbb{S}^1_{univ}$ which captures the behavior of the lift $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ of $\mathcal{F}$ to the universal cover $\tilde{M}$. More precisely,

(a) There is a faithful representation $\rho_{univ} : \pi_1(M) \to \mathrm{Homeo}(\mathbb{S}^1_{univ})$.
(b) For each point $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{M}$, there is a monotone map $\varphi_{\tilde{x}} : \mathbb{S}^1_{univ} \to \mathbb{S}^1_\infty$ (whose point preimages are connected and contractible) from the universal circle $\mathbb{S}^1_{univ}$ to the circle at infinity $\mathbb{S}^1_\infty$ of the leaf $\tilde{L}_{\tilde{x}} = \mathbb{H}^2$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ passing through $\tilde{x}$.
(c) For each $\alpha \in \pi_1(M)$, the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{S}^1_{univ} & \xrightarrow{\rho_{univ}(\alpha)} & \mathbb{S}^1_{univ} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_{\tilde{x}}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_{\tilde{y}}} \\ \mathbb{S}^1_\infty & \xrightarrow{\partial\alpha} & \mathbb{S}^1_\infty \end{array} \tag{7.1}$$

where α defines a deck transformation of $\tilde{M}$ sending the point $\tilde{x}$ to another point $\tilde{y}$ in the same fiber and the induced map $\alpha : \tilde{L}_{\tilde{x}} \to \tilde{L}_{\tilde{y}}$ between the leaves passing through $\tilde{x}$ and $\tilde{y}$ extends to a homeomorphim $\partial\alpha : \mathbb{S}^1_\infty \to \mathbb{S}^1_\infty$ between their circles at infinity.

As for any surface bundle over $\mathbb{S}^1$, the circle at infinity of any leaf $\tilde{L}_{\tilde{x}}$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ can be identified with the universal circle $\mathbb{S}^1_{univ}$ through the map $\varphi_{\tilde{x}} : \mathbb{S}^1_{univ} \to \mathbb{S}^1_\infty$.

Limit set of the leaves. If α belongs to the fundamental group of the leaf L_x passing through a point $x \in M$ and $\tilde{x}$ projects on x, then α fixes the leaf $\tilde{L}_{\tilde{x}}$ acting on it as a deck transformation. For each point $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^1_\infty$, its image $\partial\alpha(\xi) = \alpha\xi$ where $\pi_1(L_x)$ acts on $\mathbb{S}^1_\infty$ as a discrete subgroup of $PSL(2,\mathbb{R})$. As the fundamental group of L_x is a purely hyperbolic Fuchsian group of the first kind, the limit set $\Lambda(\pi_1(L_x))$ is the whole circle at infinity.

The Cannon-Thurston property. Returning to diagram 7.1, since the action of $\pi_1(M)$ preserves the lifted foliation $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$, it induces an action on the leaf space of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$, which is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$. Then the fundamental group of the each leaf can be seen as the stabilizer of the leaf. More precisely, since $\mathcal{F}$ is transversally affine, it is given by a holonomy representation

$$h : \pi_1(M) \to Aff(\mathbb{R}),$$

as shown in [47]. Then the fundamental group of the leaf L_x is given by

$$\pi_1(L_x) = \{\, \alpha \in \pi_1(M) \,:\, h(\alpha)(t) = t \,\}$$

where $t \in \mathbb{R}$ is associated to the leaf passing through $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{M}$. Thus, the fundamental group of each leaf contains a nontrivial (infinitely generated) normal subgroup of $\pi_1(M)$, namely the kernel of h, and we can adapt the argument given by J.W.Cannon and Thurston [13] in order to describe the universal circle of $\mathcal{F}$ as an equivariant sphere-filling Peano curve.

The limit set $\Lambda(\pi_1(M))$ of the group $\pi_1(M)$ acting on $\tilde{M} \cong \mathbb{H}^3$ is equal to the whole sphere at infinity $\mathbb{S}^2_\infty$, and the previous comment implies that the subgroup $\pi_1(L_x)$ must also have the same limit set in $\mathbb{H}^3 \cup \mathbb{S}^2_\infty$. In other words, if we denote by $i_* : \pi_1(L_x) \to \pi_1(M)$ the monomorphism induced by the weak embedding i of the leaf L_x into the manifold M, then $\Lambda(i_*(\pi_1(L_x))) = \Lambda(\pi_1(M)) = \mathbb{S}^2_\infty$.

Furthermore, the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ has the *continuous extension property* in the sense of [20], i.e. for each point $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{M}$ that projects over x, the inclusion $i : L_x \to M$ lifts to a continuous function $j_{\tilde{x}} : \tilde{L}_{\tilde{x}} \cup \mathbb{S}^1_\infty = \mathbb{H}^2 \cup \mathbb{S}^1_\infty \to \mathbb{H}^3 \cup \mathbb{S}^2_\infty$. This is a special instance of [19, Theorem 7.1], where Fenley proves that the hypotheses of the Main Theorem of [20] are in fact very general. Ours constitute new examples of foliations with the Cannon-Thurston property: *if $\mathcal{F}$ is a minimal foliation on a closed hyperbolic 3-manifold M which is obtained from a foliated solvmanifold $\mathbb{T}^3_A$ by branched covering, then the map*

$$j_{univ} = j_{\tilde{x}} \circ \varphi_{\tilde{x}} : \mathbb{S}^1_{univ} \to \mathbb{S}^2_\infty$$

is a $\pi_1(M)$-invariant 2-sphere-filling Peano curve.

References

[1] Agol, I., Leininger, C. J., and Margalit, D. Pseudo-anosov stretch factors and homology of mapping tori. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society* (2016).

[2] Alcalde Cuesta, F., and Dal'Bo, F. Remarks on the dynamics of the horocycle flow for homogeneous foliations by hyperbolic surfaces. *Expo. Math. 33* (2015), 431–451.

[3] Alcalde Cuesta, F., Dal'Bo, F., Martínez, M., and Verjovsky, A. Minimality of the horocycle flow on laminations by hyperbolic surfaces with non-trivial topology. *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems 36*, 9 (2016), 4619–4635.

[4] Alvarez, S., and Lessa, P. The Teichmüller space of the Hirsch foliation. `arXiv:1507.01531`.

[5] Bers, L. An extremal problem for quasiconformal mappings and a theorem by Thurston. *Acta Math. 141*, 1-2 (1978), 73–98.

[6] Borisenko, A. A., and Petrov, E. V. Surfaces in the three-dimensional Heisenberg group with a restriction on the Jacobian of the Gauss map. *Mat. Zametki 89*, 5 (2011), 794–796.

[7] Brittenham, M., Naimi, R., and Roberts, R. Graph manifolds and taut foliations. *J. Differential Geom. 45*, 3 (1997), 446–470.

[8] Calegari, D. $\mathbb{R}$-covered foliations of hyperbolic 3-manifolds. *Geom. Topol. 3* (1999), 137–153 (electronic).

[9] Calegari, D. *Foliations and the geometry of 3-manifolds*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2007.
[10] Calegari, D., and Dunfield, N. M. Laminations and groups of homeomorphisms of the circle. *Invent. Math. 152*, 1 (2003), 149–204.
[11] Candel, A. Uniformization of surface laminations. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 26*, 4 (1993), 489–516.
[12] Candel, A., and Conlon, L. *Foliations. I*, vol. 23 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000.
[13] Cannon, J. W., and Thurston, W. P. Group invariant Peano curves. *Geom. Topol. 11* (2007), 1315–1355.
[14] Cheeger, J., Gromov, M., and Taylor, M. Finite propagation speed, kernel estimates for functions of the Laplace operator, and the geometry of complete Riemannian manifolds. *J. Differential Geom. 17*, 1 (1982), 15–53.
[15] Dathe, H., and Tarquini, C. Sur les feuilletages des variétés fibrées. *Ann. Math. Blaise Pascal 15*, 2 (2008), 211–232.
[16] Deblois, J., and Kent, IV, R. P. Surface groups are frequently faithful. *Duke Math. J. 131*, 2 (2006), 351–362.
[17] Efimov, N. V., and Posnjak, È. G. Generalization of Hilbert's theorem on surfaces of constant negative curvature. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 137* (1961), 509–512.
[18] Fathi, A., Laudenbach, F., and Poénaru, V. *Travaux de Thurston sur les surfaces*, vol. 66 of *Astérisque*. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1979. Séminaire Orsay, With an English summary.
[19] Fenley, S. Ideal boundaries of pseudo-Anosov flows and uniform convergence groups with connections and applications to large scale geometry. *Geom. Topol. 16*, 1 (2012), 1–110.
[20] Fenley, S. R. Geometry of foliations and flows. I. Almost transverse pseudo-Anosov flows and asymptotic behavior of foliations. *J. Differential Geom. 81*, 1 (2009), 1–89.
[21] Forni, G., Matheus, C., and Zorich, A. Square-tiled cyclic covers. *J. Mod. Dyn. 5*, 2 (2011), 285–318.
[22] Franks, J., and Rykken, E. Pseudo-Anosov homeomorphisms with quadratic expansion. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 127*, 7 (1999), 2183–2192.
[23] Fried, D. Transitive anosov flows and pseudo-anosov maps. *Topology 22*, 3 (1983), 299 – 303.
[24] Gabai, D. Foliations and the topology of 3-manifolds. *J. Differential Geom. 18*, 3 (1983), 445–503.
[25] Gerber, M., and Katok, A. Smooth models of Thurston's pseudo-Anosov maps. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 15*, 1 (1982), 173–204.
[26] Goldman, W. M. Topological components of spaces of representations. *Invent. Math. 93*, 3 (1988), 557–607.
[27] Goodman, S. *Dehn surgery on Anosov flows*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1983, pp. 300–307.
[28] Haefliger, A. Variétés feuilletées. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa (3) 16* (1962), 367–397.
[29] Haefliger, A., and Reeb, G. Variétés (non séparées) à une dimension et structures feuilletées du plan. *Enseignement Math. (2) 3* (1957), 107–125.
[30] Hatcher, W. *Notes on Basic 3-Manifold Topology*. 2007. `www.math.cornell.edu/~hatcher/3M/3Mdownloads.html`.
[31] Hector, G. Feuilletages en cylindres. In *Geometry and topology (Proc. III Latin Amer. School of Math., Inst. Mat. Pura Aplicada CNPq, Rio de Janeiro, 1976)*. Springer, Berlin, 1977, pp. 252–270. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 597.
[32] Hector, G., and Hirsch, U. *Introduction to the geometry of foliations. Part A*, vol. 1 of *Aspects of Mathematics*. Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1981.
[33] Hector, G., and Hirsch, U. *Introduction to the geometry of foliations. Part B*, second ed. Aspects of Mathematics, E3. Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1987.
[34] Herman, M.-R. Sur la conjugaison différentiable des difféomorphismes du cercle à des rotations. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, 49 (1979), 5–233.
[35] Lickorish, W. B. R. A foliation for 3-manifolds. *Ann. of Math. (2) 82* (1965), 414–420.
[36] Meigniez, G. Bouts d'un groupe opérant sur la droite. II. Applications à la topologie des feuilletages. *Tohoku Math. J. (2) 43*, 4 (1991), 473–500.
[37] Milnor, J. On the existence of a connection with curvature zero. *Comment. Math. Helv. 32* (1958), 215–223.
[38] Milnor, J. Pasting together Julia sets: a worked out example of mating. *Experiment. Math. 13*, 1 (2004), 55–92.
[39] Milnor, T. K. Efimov's theorem about complete immersed surfaces of negative curvature. *Advances in Math. 8* (1972), 474–543.

[40] Morton, H. R. Exchangeable braids. In *Low-dimensional topology (Chelwood Gate, 1982)*, vol. 95 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.* Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1985, pp. 86–105.
[41] Nakayama, H. Transversely affine foliations of some surface bundles over S^1 of pseudo-Anosov type. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 41*, 3 (1991), 755–778.
[42] Novikov, S. P. The topology of foliations. *Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obšč. 14* (1965), 248–278.
[43] Palmeira, C. F. B. Open manifolds foliated by planes. *Ann. Math. (2) 107*, 1 (1978), 109–131.
[44] Penner, R. C. Bounds on least dilatations. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 113*, 2 (1991), 443–450.
[45] Rosenberg, H. Foliations by planes. *Topology 7* (1968), 131–138.
[46] Scott, P. The geometries of 3-manifolds. *Bull. London Math. Soc. 15*, 5 (1983), 401–487.
[47] Seke, B. Sur les structures transversalement affines des feuilletages de codimension un. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 30*, 1 (1980), ix, 1–29.
[48] Thurston, W. P. On the geometry and dynamics of diffeomorphisms of surfaces. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 19*, 2 (1988), 417–431.
[49] Thurston, W. P. Foliations and geometry. In *Pacific Northwest Geometry Seminar, Winter Meeting, MSRI*. 1997. `www.math.washington.edu/~lee/PNGS/97-winter/thurston.html`.
[50] Thurston, W. P. Three-manifolds, foliations and circles, I. `arXiv:math/9712268`.
[51] Thurston, W. P. Hyperbolic structures on 3-manifolds, II: Surface groups and 3-manifolds which fiber over the circle. `arXiv:math/9801045`.
[52] Verjovsky, A. A uniformization theorem for holomorphic foliations. In *The Lefschetz centennial conference, Part III (Mexico City, 1984)*, vol. 58 of *Contemp. Math.* Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987, pp. 233–253.
[53] Wood, J. W. Foliations on 3-manifolds. *Ann. of Math. (2) 89* (1969), 336–358.
[54] Wood, J. W. Bundles with totally disconnected structure group. *Comment. Math. Helv. 46* (1971), 257–273.
[55] Wood, J. W. Foliated S^1-bundles and diffeomorphisms of S^1. In *Dynamical systems (Proc. Sympos., Univ. Bahia, Salvador, 1971)*. Academic Press, New York, 1973, pp. 671–681.
[56] Yu, B. Affine Hirsch foliations on 3-manifolds. `arXiv:1604.03723`.
[57] Yue, C. Foliations with leaves of nonpositive curvature. *Israel J. Math. 97* (1997), 113–123.

[1] GeoDynApp - ECSING Group, Spain.
[2] Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, E-15782, Santiago de Compostela, Spain.
E-mail address: `fernando.alcalde@usc.es`

[3] Institut de Recherche Mathématiques de Rennes, Université de Rennes 1, F-35042 Rennes, France
E-mail address: `francoise.dalbo@univ-rennes1.fr`

[3] Instituto de Matemática y Estadística Rafael Laguardia, Facultad de Ingeniería, Universidad de la República, J.Herrera y Reissig 565, C.P.11300 Montevideo, Uruguay.
E-mail address: `matildem@fing.edu.uy`

[4] Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Apartado Postal 273, Admon. de correos #3, C.P. 62251 Cuernavaca, Morelos, Mexico.
E-mail address: `alberto@matcuer.unam.mx`